arXiv:1604.07380v2 [math.RT] 20 Jun 2016

The center of small quantum groups I: the principal block in type A

Anna Lachowska, You Qi

June 21, 2016

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Motivation . . . 2
1.2 Summary . . . 3
1.3 Some further questions . . . 4

2 The Springer resolution and the tangent bundle **5**
2.1 Elements . . . 5
2.2 The equivariant structure of the tangent bundle . . . 12
2.3 Extension to the exterior product bundles . . . 16

3 The center of the principal block of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ **20**
3.1 Notation . . . 20
3.2 Sheaf cohomology of some vector bundles over the flag variety . . . 21
3.3 The $\mathfrak{sl}_3$-center computation . . . 25

4 Symmetries of the center **33**
4.1 An $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action on the center . . . 33
4.2 Conjectures . . . 36
4.3 Further evidence . . . 38

References **41**

Abstract

We develop an elementary algebraic method to compute the center of the principal block of a small quantum group associated with a complex semisimple Lie algebra at a root of unity. The exemplary case of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ is computed explicitly, and further evidence of $\mathfrak{sl}_4$ is sketched. This allows us to formulate the conjecture that, as a bigraded vector space, the center of a regular block of the small quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_m$ at a root of unity is isomorphic to Haiman's diagonal coinvariant algebra for the symmetric group S_m.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

The question of the structure of the centers of small quantum groups at roots of unity has a long history. Even before the small quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ was defined by Lusztig [Lus90] for a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and an l-th root of unity q, a similar problem was considered for algebraic groups over fields of positive characteristic. In both cases the objects under consideration is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra whose structure are determined by a finite root system and an integer (or a prime) parameter. By the work of Andersen, Jantzen and Soergel [AJS94], the principal blocks of both algebras are Morita equivalent to the same algebra (up to a base field change), meaning that an answer for the structure of the center for one of them translates to the other.

In addition to the original motivation for the study of the center of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$, based on the connection with the structure theory of algebraic groups over fields of positive characteristic, other potential applications should be mentioned. One is suggested by the equivalences of categories between the representations of quantum groups at roots of unity and affine Lie algebras at negative and positive integer levels [KL94a, KL94b, Fin96]. Another possible application comes from the theory of quantum topological invariants of 3-manifolds [RT91, KR95, Lyu95]. It is known [Hen96] that a family of quantum invariants, including the Reshetikhin-Turaev and Hennings-Kauffman-Radford invariants, is parametrized by certain special elements of the center of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Another direction has been suggested in a series of papers studying logarithmic conformal field theories (see, e.g., [FGST06]). In case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, the small quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and a certain W-algebra act on the same vertex operator algebra, and their actions centralize each other. The expectation is that this observation extends to higher rank, and that there is a strong relation between the Hochschild cohomology of the categories involved and the centers of the two algebras.

Despite the fact that $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a finite dimensional algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ that has been studied extensively for over 20 years, the structure and even the dimension of its center has remained unknown, except in the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. In the latter case the answer was first found in [Ker95]: the dimension of the center of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, with q a primitive root of unity of odd degree $l \geq 3$, equals $\frac{3l-1}{2}$, which is unexpectedly large (the number of inequivalent irreducible representations of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is l). For higher rank, [Lac03] contains a description of the largest known central subalgebra, and provides a lower bound for the dimension of the center. In particular, the principal block of the center of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$, whose structure is independent of l by [AJS94], contains a subalgebra of dimension $2|W| - 1$, where W is the Weyl group associated with $\mathfrak{g}$. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, this subalgebra coincides with the whole center. For higher rank, it was expected from the beginning that the dimension of the center of the principal block of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ should be larger than $2|W| - 1$. Building on [ABG04], a description of the Hochschild cohomology of the principal block of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is given in [BL07] for any semisimple $\mathfrak{g}$ in terms of the cohomology of certain polyvector fields over the Springer resolution. The previously known central subalgebra is clearly visible in this framework; however, it does not provide an immediate answer for the combinatorial structure or even the dimension of the center of the principal block for higher rank.

1.2 Summary

In the present paper, we develop an approach to compute explicitly the sheaf cohomology groups involved in [BL07]. We carry out a complete computation for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, and outline the basic steps and perform a partial computation for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_4$. This allows us to formulate an intriguing conjecture (Conjecture 4.9) for the structure of the principal block of the center of the small quantum group in type A. In a sequel [LQ16] we will give a parallel discussion of the singular blocks for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ and more generally in type A. Further computations will be performed in subsequent works in order to formulate similar conjectures for other Lie types.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we present a method for computation of the dimension of the principal block of the center of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Let G be a complex semisimple Lie group, and B be a fixed Borel subgroup in G. We start by recalling the main object of study, the Springer variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ as the cotangent bundle of the flag variety G/B, and its connection to the principal block of small quantum groups [ABG04, BL07]. The main result in [BL07] (Theorem 2.3) allows us to reduce the center computation for small quantum groups to the cohomology of the poly-tangent bundle $\wedge^\bullet T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ on the Springer variety. By pushing forward the poly-tangent bundle onto the flag variety G/B along the canonical projection map $\mathrm{pr} : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow G/B$, one obtains a family of equivariant vector bundles on G/B. The coherent cohomology of any equivariant vector bundle $G \times_B E$ on G/B can be computed via Bott's classical result (Theorem 2.6), relating them to more algebraically approachable (relative) Lie algebra cohomology groups with coefficients in E. Our first main result in this Section is an explicit description of the equivariant structure of the pushforward sheaves $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^\bullet T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$. The answer is formulated in Theorem 2.10 and Corollary 2.14. This allows us, in principle, to compute the center of the principal block of the small quantum groups via relative Lie algebra cohomology. The second ingredient of our approach consists of a simplification of Bott's method by using the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution of a finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module that reduces the relative Lie algebra cohomology to the combinatorics of E (Proposition 2.8). The combination of these two ingredients allows us to obtain an explicit algorithmic method to compute the principal block of the center of small quantum groups which, in small rank cases, can be performed by hand.

In Section 3 we apply the general machinery developed in Section 2 to the particular case of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$. After fixing the specific notation for this case in Section 3.1, we compute the cohomology of two auxiliary vector bundles in Section 3.2, illustrating both the geometric and algebraic methods involved. In Section 3.3 the zeroth Hochschild cohomology of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is computed explicitly, and the results are tabulated in Theorem 3.6. In particular, the dimension of the principal block of the center for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ is 16, considerably greater than the dimension of the previously known subalgebra $2|W| - 1 = 11$. Our key observation is that the bigraded components of the center fit into a "formal Hodge diamond," which is isomorphic to Haiman's bigraded diagonal coinvariant algebra [Hai94] for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ up to an overall bigrading transformation. This remarkable correspondence between the center of the principal block of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and Haiman's diagonal coinvariant algebra is, in retrospect, also confirmed for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ via the work of [Ker95].

The main goal of Section 4 is to formulate a conjecture generalizing the $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ case to a general finite type A situation. Our first observation is that there exists an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ action on the Hochschild cohomology groups of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ for any $\mathfrak{g}$ (Theorem 4.3), which resembles the usual $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ action on the Dolbeault cohomology ring of a smooth compact Kähler manifold. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is

holomorphic symplectic, such an action is generated by wedging with the Poisson bivector field τ and contracting with the canonical symplectic form ω on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. Consequently, the center $\mathsf{z}_0(u_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ contains a large subalgebra $\tau\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by the cohomology ring of G/B, which is isomorphic to the coinvariant algebra $\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and the Poisson bivector field τ (Corollary 4.6). This subalgebra contains the previously known largest subalgebra found in [Lac03]. Thus in the case of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $\tau\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ agrees with the entire principal block center, while our computation shows that, for $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$, it has codimension 1 in $\mathsf{z}_0(u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3))$. However, as the main Conjecture 4.9 would imply, the codimension of the subalgebra $\tau\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{sl}_m} \subset \mathsf{z}_0$ grows exponentially in m for $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$. Finally, Section 4.3 provides further numerical evidence for Conjecture 4.9 in the case of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_4)$.

We believe that the similarity between the two canonically defined objects associated with $\mathfrak{sl}_m$, namely the principal block of the center $\mathsf{z}_0(u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m))$[1], and Haiman's diagonal coinvariant algebra DC_m, is not merely a coincidence for $m = 2, 3$ and 4. To reveal the algebro-geometric and representation theoretical reasons behind this remarkable similarity will be the goal of our subsequent work.

To conclude this summary, let us emphasize that, through the Kazhdan-Luzstig equivalence [KL94a, KL94b] (see also [ABG04]), the validity of Conjecture 4.9 would also shed new light on understanding of the principal block of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_m$ at the critical level.

1.3 Some further questions

The current work is only an initial step in the investigation of the center for small quantum groups. Here we briefly outline some future directions we plan to pursue.

In the sequel [LQ16] to this paper, we consider the same problem for singular blocks of small quantum groups. We will first formulate a generalization of the result in [BL07] relating singular block centers to the zeroth Hochschild cohomology of parabolic Springer varieties, and then compute the center of the singular blocks for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ together with some other examples via the method developed in this paper.

Finding an algebraic interpretation of the newly discovered central elements for small quantum groups constitutes another important problem. The previously known largest central subalgebra of the regular block of the center identified in [BL07] together with its analogs in the singular blocks corresponds to a certain subspace of tracelike functionals described in [Lac03]. We would like to know which tracelike functionals correspond to the newly found generators in the zeroth Hochschild cohomology ring in both regular and singular cases. We plan to discuss this question in the subsequent works.

The same problems for other Lie types will also be studied in upcoming works. The method developed in this paper is adaptable to machine computation, and pursuing this path will allow us to formulate similar conjectures for other Lie types. For instance, it would be interesting to get an explicit answer for type B_4, the lowest-rank example where the diagonal coinvariant algebra in type B differs from its canonical quotient [Hai94, Gor03, Che04]. Because of the large dimensions of the vector bundles involved, this computation is not easily accessible by hand.

We will continue working on obtaining further evidence and, hopefully, a proof for the formulated conjectures on the structure of the center of small quantum groups. Furthermore,

[1] By the work of Andersen-Jantzen-Soergel [AJS94], this commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathsf{z}_0(u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m))$ is independent of the order of q.

it would be interesting to find a connection between centers of small quantum groups and categorified small quantum groups, as initiated in [KQ15, EQ16], and to understand how the Hecke categories in [RW15] are related to the center of small quantum group, which we also plan to pursue in the future.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Roman Bezrukavnikov, Ivan Cherednik, A. Johan de Jong, Dennis Gaitsgory, Jiuzu Hong, Steve Jackson, Mikhail Khovanov, Peng Shan, Geordie Williamson and Chenyang Xu for helpful discussions. We are grateful to Anton Mellit for giving a talk in November 2015 at EPFL that attracted our attention to Haiman's diagonal coinvariant algebra, which resulted in a decisive advancement in our project. Special thanks go to Igor Frenkel for his constant encouragement and for bringing to the authors' attention some potential physical implications of the results.

2 The Springer resolution and the tangent bundle

In this section we develop a method that will allow us to compute the center of the principal block of the small quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_3$, and provide an algorithm for performing similar computations in general. In particular, we analyze the structure of the tangent bundle of the Springer resolution $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ and its exterior powers relative to the flag manifold.

2.1 Elements

Notation. In this section, G is a reductive group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero[2], and B is a fixed Borel subgroup of G. The unipotent subgroup $[B, B]$ and Cartan subgroup $B/[B, B]$ will be written as N and H respectively, so that $B = HN$. The opposite unipotent group to N will be denoted by U.

Let $X := G/B$ be the flag variety associated with G.

The (complex) Lie algebras for the corresponding groups will be denoted by the lower case Gothic letters:

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G), \quad \mathfrak{b} := \mathrm{Lie}(B), \quad \mathfrak{n} := \mathrm{Lie}(N), \quad \mathfrak{h} := \mathrm{Lie}(H), \quad \mathfrak{u} := \mathrm{Lie}(U). \tag{2.1}$$

We have $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$, and $\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$.

The Lie groups act on their Lie algebras by the adjoint representation. Choose a non-degenerate G-invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ (e.g. the Killing form if $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple). Under this paring we have $\mathfrak{n} \cong \mathfrak{u}^*$, which is, in fact, an isomorphism of B-modules. Likewise, we have $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{h}^*$ as G-modules.

Later we will be concerned with *(G-)equivariant vector bundles* over X and their associated (sheaf) cohomology groups. Such bundles are necessarily of the form $G \times_B V$, where V is a B-representation. Their cohomology groups then admit a natural G-action. Any vector bundle over X can be upgraded to an equivariant bundle, but not necessarily in a unique way. For instance, we will identify

$$TX \cong G \times_B (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}), \qquad T^*X \cong G \times_B (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})^*.$$

[2] As an abuse of notation, we will always use $\mathbb{C}$ to stand for this ground field

Clearly, as B-modules, we have $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathfrak{u}$. Moreover, via the G-equivariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$, we identify $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})^* \cong \mathfrak{n}$, so that

$$TX \cong G \times_B \mathfrak{u}, \qquad T^*X \cong G \times_B \mathfrak{n}. \tag{2.2}$$

The group G acts on X on the left, and B is the stablizer subgroup of the identity coset. If $G \times_B V$ is an equivariant vector bundle on X, then B acts naturally on the fiber over the identity coset $eB \in X$, which is no other than the vector space V regarded as a variety. It follows that, if V is an indecomposable representation of B, then the bundle $G \times_B V$ can not decompose into a nontrivial direct sum of equivariant subbundles. This useful property is a special case of the following well known lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be an algebraic group and P be a Zariski closed subgroup. Suppose V is a linear P-representation. Then the category of G-equivariant coherent sheave on the variety $G \times_P V$ is equivalent to the category of P-equivariant sheaves on V.*

Proof. The equivalence is provided by induction and restriction to the fiber over the identity coset of the canonical projection map $\mathrm{pr} : G \times_P V \longrightarrow G/P$. □

Example 2.2. Consider the adjoint bundle $G \times_B \mathfrak{g}$ on X. Let ν be the canonical G-equivariant projection map $X = G/B \longrightarrow G/G$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a G-representation, this bundle is the equivariant pull-back of the G-bundle $\mathfrak{g}$ over the point G/G:

$$G \times_B \mathfrak{g} \cong \nu^*(G \times_G \mathfrak{g}).$$

Therefore, as a non-equivariant bundle,

$$G \times_B \mathfrak{g} \cong G \times_B (\mathbb{C}^{\oplus \dim \mathfrak{g}})$$

is a trivial vector bundle on X. But they are not isomorphic as equivariant bundles, for $\mathfrak{g}$ is an indecomposable B-module generated by a highest weight vector. Upon taking global sections, the left hand side gives us the adjoint representation of G, while the right hand side results in $\dim \mathfrak{g}$ copies of the trivial G-representation.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{g}$, which consists of elements in $\mathfrak{g}$ that can be conjugated inside $\mathfrak{n}$ under the adjoint action of G. The *Springer resolution* of $\mathcal{N}$, denoted by

$$\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \tag{2.3}$$

is a resolution of the singularity for $\mathcal{N}$. (See [CG97, Chapter III] for the standard facts about the Springer resolution). As an algebraic variety, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ can be identified with the cotangent bundle to X:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \cong T^*X \cong G \times_B \mathfrak{n}. \tag{2.4}$$

Elements in $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ are thus given by pairs (g, x), where $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathfrak{n}$, subject to the identification $(g, x) = (gb^{-1}, \mathrm{Ad}_b(x)))$. Let $\mathrm{pr} : T^*X \longrightarrow X$ be the canonical projection map that sends the equivalence class of the pair (g, x) to the coset gB. It is evidently G-equivariant.

Let the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ act on X trivially, and define its action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ by rescaling the fibers of pr, which are all isomorphic to the vector space $\mathfrak{n}$, via the character $z \mapsto z^{-2}$. This action commutes with the action of G on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ and X. It is easy to check that, with respect to these actions, pr is in fact $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant.

Relation to the quantum groups. We will be interested in calculating some particular sheaf cohomology groups over X that are used in the description of the center of the principal block of the small quantum group associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ according to the main theorem in [BL07]. Let us recall the result and the setting.

Let R be a finite reduced root system in a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathfrak{h}$ and fix a basis of simple roots $S = \{\alpha_i, i \in I\}$. Let $\check{\alpha}$ denote the coroot corresponding to the root $\alpha \in R$. The Cartan matrix is given by $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i, \check{\alpha}_j \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the canonical pairing $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}$. Let W be the Weyl group of R. There exists a unique W-invariant scalar product in $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ for any short root $\alpha \in R$. Set $d_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ for each $i \in I$. We denote by $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Z}R$ the root lattice, and by $\mathbb{X} = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h} : \langle \mu, \check{\alpha} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \ \ \forall\, \alpha \in R\}$ the weight lattice corresponding to R. The coweight lattice is $\check{\mathbb{Y}} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let R^+ be the set of positive roots, define the dominant weights by $\mathbb{X}^+ = \{\mu \in \mathbb{X} : \langle \mu, \check{\alpha} \rangle \geq 0 \ \ \forall\, \alpha \in R^+\}$ and set $\mathbb{Y}^+ = \mathbb{Y} \cap \mathbb{X}^+$.

Let G be a complex connected semisimple group of adjoint type with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the root system R.

Let $\mathbb{C}(q)$ denote the field of rational functions in the variable q. We denote by $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q$ the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is generated over $\mathbb{C}(q)$ by $E_i, F_i, i \in I$ and $K_\mu^{\pm 1}, \mu \in \check{\mathbb{Y}}$ subject to well-known relations, see e.g. [Lus93]. We will write K_i for $K_{d_i \check{\alpha}_i}$. The algebra U_q is a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}(q)$.

Fix an odd positive integer l which is greater than the Coxeter number of the root system, prime to the index of connection $|\mathbb{X}/\mathbb{Y}|$ and prime to 3 if R has a component of type G_2. Choose a primitive l-th root of unity $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{C}(q)$ be the ring localized at ξ, and $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{A}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $[n]_d = \frac{q^{dn} - q^{-dn}}{q^d - q^{-d}}$ and $[n]_d! = \prod_{s=1}^n \frac{q^{ds} - q^{-ds}}{q^d - q^{-d}}$.

In U_q consider the divided powers of the generators $E_i^{(n)} = E_i^n / [n]_{d_i}!, F_i^{(n)} = F_i^n / [n]_{d_i}!, i \in I, n \geq 1$, and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} K_\mu, m \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ as defined in [Lus93]. The Lusztig's integral form $\mathsf{U}_\mathcal{A}$ is defined as an $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by these elements. $\mathsf{U}_\mathcal{A}$ is a Hopf subalgebra of U_q. The Lusztig quantum algebra at a root of unity U is defined by specialization of $\mathsf{U}_\mathcal{A}$ at ξ: $\mathsf{U} = \mathsf{U}_\mathcal{A} / \mathfrak{m}\mathsf{U}_\mathcal{A}$. It has a Hopf algebra structure over $\mathbb{C}$.

The *small quantum group* $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the subalgebra in U generated by the elements E_i, F_i and the Cartan elements $\frac{K_i - K_i}{q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i}}$, $i \in I$. Since we have assumed l to be odd, $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathrm{Rep}(u_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ be the category of finite-dimensional $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules over $\mathbb{C}$. The finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ decomposes as a left $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module into a finite direct sum of finite-dimensional submodules. Denote by $u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0$ the largest direct summand for which all its simple subquotients belong to the principal block of the category $\mathrm{Rep}(u_q(\mathfrak{g}))$. Then $u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0$ is a two-sided ideal in $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$, which will be called the principal block of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Let z denote the center of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$. It decomposes into a direct sum of ideals according to the block decomposition of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Set $\mathsf{z}_0 = \mathsf{z} \cap u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0$.

Recall that we have a G-equivariant isomorphism of vector bundles $G \times_B \mathfrak{n} \cong T^*X = \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, and that the multiplicative group acts on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ by dilations on the fibers: an element $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}$ by multiplication by z^{-2}. Consider the coherent sheaf of poly-vector fields $\Lambda^\bullet T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. The direct image of this sheaf to X is in fact bi-graded. The first grading is the natural grading $\Lambda^\bullet T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \oplus_{j=0}^{\dim(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})} \Lambda^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. The second grading comes from the induced action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. We will write $\mathrm{pr}_*(\Lambda^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k$ for the (j,k)-th component with respect to this bi-grading; this is a locally free G-equivariant coherent sheaf on X. Notice that, because of the definition of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, $\mathrm{pr}_*(\Lambda^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k = 0$ for odd k.

Then we have the following result [BL07]:

Theorem 2.3. *There exists an isomorphism of algebras between the total Hochschild cohomology of the principal block $u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0$ and the total cohomology of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ with coefficients in $\Lambda^\bullet T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$; here the algebra structure on the second space comes from multiplication in the exterior algebra $\Lambda^\bullet T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. The isomorphism is compatible with the grading as follows:*

$$\mathrm{HH}^s(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+k=s} \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Lambda^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k.$$

In particular,

$$\mathsf{z}_0 \cong \mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+k=0} \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Lambda^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k.$$

Classical results. To compute the sheaf cohomology on the right-hand side of the isomorphism in Theorem 2.3, one of the basic tools at our disposal is the Borel-Weil-Bott (BWB) Theorem [Bot57] for cohomology of line bundles over X, which holds for the flag variety associated to any complex reductive Lie group. The equivariant line bundles on X are classified by one-dimensional representations of B, which correspond bijectively to the characters of the maximal torus H.

Theorem 2.4 (Borel-Weil-Bott)**.** *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}$. If $\lambda + \rho$ is singular, then $\mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = 0$ for all i. If $\lambda + \rho$ is regular, then there exists a unique nonvanishing cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^{i(\lambda)}(X, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$, where $i(\lambda)$ is the length of the unique element w of the Weyl group such that $w(\lambda + \rho)$ is dominant. In this case, $\mathrm{H}^{i(\lambda)}(X, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ is an irreducible representation of G with highest weight $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$.*

Another useful result is Borel's theorem on cohomology of X, which holds for a general complex reductive group (see, e.g. [Hil82]).

Theorem 2.5 (Borel)**.** *There is an algebra isomorphism between the cohomology of the flag variety X and the algebra of coinvariants of the corresponding root system,*

$$\mathrm{H}^\bullet(X) \cong \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathrm{H}^i(X, \Omega_X^i) \cong \frac{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})}{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})^W_+}.$$

Here $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ is the symmetric algebra of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, and $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})^W_+$ denotes the augmentation ideal of the Weyl group invariants in $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$.

We will also use the following theorem of Bott that relates the sheaf cohomology of vector bundles over $X = G/B$ with the relative cohomology of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{b},\ \mathfrak{h})$ with coefficients in a certain $\mathfrak{b}$-module.

Theorem 2.6 (Bott [Bot57])**.** *Let E be a holomorphic B-module, and W a holomorphic G-module. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the sheaf of local holomorphic sections of the equivariant vector bundle $G \times_B E$ on the flag variety X. Then there is an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G\left(W,\ \mathrm{H}^\bullet(X,\ \mathcal{E})\right) = \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{b},\ \mathfrak{h},\ \mathrm{Hom}(W, E)).$$

Here the left hand side contains the sheaf cohomology of $\mathcal{E}$ over X, and the right hand side represents the relative cohomology of the Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h})$ with coefficients in the $\mathfrak{b}$-module $\mathrm{Hom}(W, E)$. Suppose we know the structure of the $\mathfrak{b}$-module E corresponding to the vector bundle over X whose cohomology we need to compute. Using filtrations by line bundles and applying Theorem 2.4, we can obtain a list of G-modules W that can appear as direct summands of the G-module $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(X, \mathcal{E})$. Then Theorem 2.6 allows us to compute the multiplicity of each W in $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(X, \mathcal{E})$ as the dimension of the relative cohomology of $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h})$ with coefficients in $\mathrm{Hom}(W, E)$. The last problem is easy to solve explicitly if the considered modules are relatively small, which will be the case for the sheaves that appear in Theorem 2.3 for $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$.

Furthermore, we have

Lemma 2.7. *([Bot57, Corollary 5.2]). Let F be a finite-dimensional module over the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of a complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$ the nilradical, and $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{b}$. Suppose F is $\mathfrak{h}$-diagonalizable. Then the relative Lie cohomology of the pair $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h})$ with values in F is the $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant submodule of the cohomology of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ with values in F:*

$$\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, F) \cong \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}, F)^{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, F) \cong F^{\mathfrak{b}}$, the $\mathfrak{b}$-invariant submodule of F. □

Lie algebra cohomology and the BGG resolution. We can make Bott's method (Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.7) more combinatorially approachable by using the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) resolution [BGG71, BGG75]. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^+$ be a dominant integral weight for $\mathfrak{g}$, and denote by L_λ the corresponding simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module with highest weight λ. By Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, we need to compute the cohomology

$$\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{Hom}(L_\lambda, E)) \cong \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}, \mathrm{Hom}(L_\lambda, E))^{\mathfrak{h}},$$

where E is some finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{b}$-module. By definition we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}, \mathrm{Hom}(L_\lambda, E))^{\mathfrak{h}} & \cong & \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{Hom}(L_\lambda, E))^{\mathfrak{h}} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C}, E \otimes L_\lambda^*)^{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & \cong & \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathfrak{n}}(L_\lambda \otimes E^*, \mathbb{C})^{\mathfrak{h}}. \end{array} \tag{2.5}$$

To compute the last term we need, by definition, an $\mathfrak{h}$-graded projective resolution for the $U(\mathfrak{n})$-module $L_\lambda \otimes E^*$. Such a minimal resolution is provided by the BGG resolution for L_λ, which we briefly recall below.

For the simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module L_λ, the BGG theorem [BGG71, BGG75] provides a resolution by direct sums of Verma modules (see also [Hum08, Section 6.1] for a concise exposition):

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{w_0 \cdot \lambda} \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{l(w)=j} M_{w \cdot \lambda} \xrightarrow{d_j} \bigoplus_{l(w)=j-1} M_{w \cdot \lambda} \to \cdots \to M_\lambda \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} L_\lambda \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Here M_μ denotes the Verma $\mathfrak{g}$-module of highest weight $\mu \in \mathbb{X}$, $l : W \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the length function on W and w_0, as usual, stands for the longest element in the group W. Recall that if we write $\mathfrak{b}^+ = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{u}$, then $M_\mu = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\mu$, where $\mathfrak{b}^+$ acts on the one-dimenional space $\mathbb{C}_\mu$ via the $\mathfrak{h}$-character μ. By restriction to $\mathfrak{n}$, we obtain an $\mathfrak{h}$-graded free $U(\mathfrak{n})$-module resolution for L_λ. If E^* is another $\mathfrak{h}$-graded $U(\mathfrak{n})$-module, then the tensor product of the complex (2.6) with E^* provides a desired resolution for $L_\lambda \otimes E^*$ because $U(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes E^*$ is a

free $U(\mathfrak{n})$-module[3]. Denote the complex (2.6) without the L_λ term by $M_\bullet$. Then the groups $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{n}}(L_\lambda \otimes E^*, \mathbb{C})$ appearing in equation (2.5) are identified with the cohomology groups of following complex the length $l(w_0)$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}}(M_\bullet \otimes E^*, \mathbb{C})^{\mathfrak{h}} \cong \left(\cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{l(w)=j-1} E[w\cdot\lambda] \xrightarrow{d_j^*} \bigoplus_{l(w)=j} E[w\cdot\lambda] \longrightarrow \cdots \right), \tag{2.7}$$

where $E[\mu]$ denotes the μ-weight subspace of E. Here we have used the fact that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{n})}(M_\mu, E)^{\mathfrak{h}} \cong (E_{-\mu})^{\mathfrak{h}} \cong E[\mu],$$

with $E_{-\mu}$ standing for E with the $\mathfrak{h}$-action shifted by the character $-\mu$. The maps d_j^* are induced from the maps d_j in the BGG resolution (2.6) by adjunction. We will give a more detailed description of these maps below. Combining Theorem 2.6 and this discussion, we obtain the following statement.

Proposition 2.8. *Let E be a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{b}$-module, and L_λ be the finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module of dominant highest weight λ. Then the $\mathbb{N}$-graded multiplicity space*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G\left(L_\lambda,\ \mathrm{H}^\bullet(X, G\times_B E)\right)$$

is given by the cohomology of the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow E[\lambda] \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{l(w)=j-1} E[w\cdot\lambda] \xrightarrow{d_j^*} \bigoplus_{l(w)=j} E[w\cdot\lambda] \to \cdots \to E[w_0\cdot\lambda] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where w_0 stands for the longest element of the Weyl group for G and $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l(w_0)\}$. □

Our next goal is to compute explicitly the boundary maps d_j^*.

We fix a $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^+$, and for $w \in W$, take an embedding $M_{w\cdot\lambda} \to M_\lambda$, which also determines the embeddings $M_{w'\cdot\lambda} \to M_{w\cdot\lambda}$ whenever $w < w'$ in the Bruhat order on W. Since the maps between Verma modules are unique up to scalars (see, for instance, [Hum08, Theorem 4.2]), this amounts to specifying a maximal $\mathfrak{h}$-weight vector $uv_{w\cdot\lambda} \in M_{w\cdot\lambda} \cong U(\mathfrak{n})v_{w\cdot\lambda}$ of weight $w'\cdot\lambda$, where $u \in U(\mathfrak{n})$ has weight $(w'\cdot\lambda - w\cdot\lambda)$ and $v_{w\cdot\lambda}$ is a free $U(\mathfrak{n})$-module generator of weight $w\cdot\lambda$. Then the map from $M_{w'\cdot\lambda}$ to $M_{w\cdot\lambda}$ is determined by a scalar coefficient $e(w, w')$ which is independent of λ. Write $w \to w'$ whenever $w' = tw$ for a $t \in W$ and $l(w') = l(w)+1$. Then the maps between the Verma modules appearing in the BGG resolution are given by the collections of scalars $e(w, w')$ such that $w \to w'$. The theorem in [BGG75] states that for all pairs $w \to w'$ the scalars $e(w, w')$ can be chosen so that $e(w, w') \in \{\pm 1\}$ and the BGG resolution is an exact complex. For example, a possible choice for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ is shown in the diagram 2.8. Each arrow $w \to w'$ is labeled with the value of the scalar $e(w, w')$.



(2.8)

[3]This is more generally true for any Hopf algebra H: the tensor product of a projective H-module with an arbitrary module remains projective.

Now suppose that $w, w' \in W$ are such that $w \to w'$, and $w' = s_\beta w$ for a reflection s_β corresponding to a positive root β. Then the Verma module $M_{w\cdot\lambda} \cong U(\mathfrak{n})v_{w\cdot\lambda}$ with a fixed maximal weight vector $v_{w\cdot\lambda}$ contains a maximal vector $u_\beta v_{w\cdot\lambda}$ of weight $s_\beta w \cdot \lambda$ for a certain element $u_\beta \in U(\mathfrak{n})$, and the map $M(s_\beta w\cdot\lambda) \to M(w\cdot\lambda)$ in the BGG resolution can be realized as the right multiplication by $e(s_\beta w, w)u_\beta$ on $U(\mathfrak{n})$.

In particular, if $s_i \in W$ is a simple reflection corresponding to the simple root α_i, then the map $M_{s_i\cdot\lambda} \longrightarrow M_\lambda$ in the BGG resolution can be realized as

$$(-)\cdot e(s_i,1)f_i^{\langle\lambda,\check{\alpha}_i\rangle+1} : U(\mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{n}), \quad x \mapsto e(s_i,1)x\cdot f_i^{\langle\lambda,\check{\alpha}_i\rangle+1}.$$

In general, the elements $u_\beta \in U(\mathfrak{n})$ are more complicated and should be determined in each particular case to make sure that BGG resolution is an exact complex. In all cases considered in this paper we will be able to compute u_β explicitly. For example, below is the complete diagram for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ and $\lambda = 0$. In this case, we identify all the Verma modules appearing in the complex (2.6) as certain left ideals in $U(\mathfrak{n})\cdot 1 \cong M_0$.



(2.9)

The elements on the arrows in the diagram indicate right multiplications by the elements on the free module $U(\mathfrak{n})$. (A similar computation for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_4$ is given in Section 4.3). It is worth pointing out that (2.9) is indeed a complex due to the $\mathfrak{sl}_3$-Serre relations

$$f_1^2 f_2 + f_2 f_1^2 = 2f_1f_2f_1, \qquad f_2^2 f_1 + f_1 f_2^2 = 2f_2f_1f_2.$$

Now, applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}}(M_\bullet \otimes E^*, \mathbb{C})^{\mathfrak{h}}$, we obtain the following total complex



(2.10)

More generally, it follows from this discussion that the differential maps in (2.7) are given by the left action of certain elements $U(\mathfrak{n})$ multiplied by ± 1. Suppose that $w \in W$ is such that $l(w) = j-1$. Then

$$d_j^*\big|_{E[w\cdot\lambda]} = \bigoplus_{\{s_\beta : w\to s_\beta w\}} e(s_\beta w, w)u_\beta : E[w\cdot\lambda] \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\{s_\beta : w\to s_\beta w\}} E[s_\beta w\cdot\lambda]. \tag{2.11}$$

The complex (2.7), together with the explicit differential maps (2.11), will be used to compute the multiplicity space for the G-isotypical components of $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(X, G \times_B E)$ once we know the structure of the $\mathfrak{b}$-modules E. Our next goal is to determine the structure of E that will appear in the computation of the center of the principal block of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

2.2 The equivariant structure of the tangent bundle

This and the next subsecions are devoted to understanding the $\mathfrak{b}$-module structure of the module corresponding to the pushdown of the tangent bundle and its exterior powers from $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ to X.

Since $\mathrm{pr} : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow X$ is a $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant projection, the pushforward of the tangent bundle $\mathrm{pr}_*(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ onto X is a $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant vector bundle. Our goal is to represent $\mathrm{pr}_*(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ as a bundle of the form $G \times_B V$ for some infinite-dimensional B-representation.

We start by recalling the following result.

Lemma 2.9. *The morphism* $\mathrm{pr} : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow X$ *is affine. In particular, it induces an equivalence between the abelian categories of coherent sheaves on* $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ *and quasi-coherent sheaves on* X *that are finitely generated over the sheaf of algebras* $\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})$.

Proof. The first claim is clear, since the fibers of pr are all affine spaces that are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n}$. The second claim is a general property of affine maps. See [Har77, Exercise II.5.17]. □

As $\mathfrak{u}$ is the space of $\mathbb{C}$-linear functions on $\mathfrak{n}$, we identify the infinite-rank, locally free sheaf of algebras $\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})$ as the associated sheaf of a $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant bundle:

$$\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}) \cong G \times_B S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}). \tag{2.12}$$

Here $S^\bullet := \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^p$ stands for the direct sum of all symmetric powers of a vector space. With respect to the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, the degree of a homogeneous local section in $S^p(\mathfrak{u})$ is equal to $2p$.

The tangent bundle of X (see (2.2)) fits naturally into the following short exact sequence of vector bundles on X:

$$G \times_B \left(0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{u} \longrightarrow 0 \right). \tag{2.13}$$

The middle term is isomorphic, although not $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariantly, to the trivial vector bundle $X \times \mathfrak{g}$ (Example 2.2). Pulling the sequence (2.13) back to $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ along pr, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{pr}^*(G \times_B \mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{pr}^*(G \times_B \mathfrak{u}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.14}$$

The tangent bundle $T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ has a natural subbundle that consists of tangent vectors along the fiber. Since the fibers are linear spaces, this subbundle is isomorphic to the pullback of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ itself along pr. The quotient bundle of $T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ modulo this subbundle are naturally identified with the pullback of the tangent bundle of X. Therefore, we have another short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{pr}^*(G \times_B \mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{pr}^*(G \times_B \mathfrak{u}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.15}$$

The G-actions $G \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ and $G \times X \longrightarrow X$ give rise to infinitesimal actions that are the vertical arrows of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathrm{pr}} & \mathfrak{g} \times X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} & \xrightarrow{d(\mathrm{pr})} & TX \end{array} . \tag{2.16}$$

Here $d(\mathrm{pr})$ stands for the total derivative of the projection map $\mathrm{pr} : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow X$. Pulling back the rightmost vertical arrow to $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} & = & \mathrm{pr}^*(\mathfrak{g} \times X) \\ {\scriptstyle\phi}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{pr}^*(TX) \end{array} . \tag{2.17}$$

The infinitesimal action map from $\mathfrak{g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ to $T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ has been denoted by ϕ. Applying ϕ to the middle terms of (2.14) and (2.15), we obtain a map of short exact sequences of vector bundles on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{pr}^*(G \times_B \mathfrak{b}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{pr}^*(G \times_B \mathfrak{u}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\phi}\downarrow & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{pr}^*(G \times_B \mathfrak{n}) & \longrightarrow & T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{pr}^*(G \times_B \mathfrak{u}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} . \tag{2.18}$$

The left most vertical map is induced from ϕ. Let us look at it more closely. Since B fixes the identity coset $eB \in X$ and pr is G-equivariant, B acts naturally on the fiber over the coset, which is identified with $\mathfrak{n}$, via the adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}$. It follows that, upon differentiation, we obtain a linear map

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{n}). \tag{2.19}$$

In other words, the vector fields coming from the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{b}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ are "vertical" along the fiber over eB. Since the $\mathfrak{b}$-action is linear, we may use the G-invariant bilinear form fixed earlier to identify the map (2.19) as

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n}, \tag{2.20}$$

where, in the term $\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{u}$ is regarded as the space of linear functions on $\mathfrak{n}$, and $\mathfrak{n}$ is considered to be the tangent space of the fiber. The map ad is $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant and has degree zero because the action of $\mathfrak{g}$, and thus $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, commutes with the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. This is reflected in the grading: the vector fields arising from $\mathfrak{b}$ (or $\mathfrak{g}$) have degree zero, and the linear functions in $\mathfrak{u}$ have degree two, while the tangent vectors in $\mathfrak{n}$ have degree minus two.

Now we can push forward the diagram (2.18) onto X. By Lemma 2.9, the short-exactness of the horizontal rows will be preserved. Via the projection formula (see, for instance, [Har77, Exercise III.8.3]) we obtain a map of short exact sequences of free $\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})$-modules

on X:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & G\times_B (S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{b}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & G\times_B (S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & G\times_B (S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{u}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ad}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G\times_B (S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{pr}_*(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}) & \longrightarrow & G\times_B (S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{u}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} . \quad (2.21)$$

In the diagram, ι is the natural inclusion map; the map ad, induced from pushing forward $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}$, is given by the composition

$$\mathrm{ad} : S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}} S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{u}\otimes\mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^{\bullet+1}(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{n}. \quad (2.22)$$

Here α is the multiplication of polynomials by linear functions, or, in other words, it is just the symmetrization map.

Denote the direct sum of the maps ι and ad by Δ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta : S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{g}\oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{n}, \\ x & \mapsto & (\iota(x),\ \mathrm{ad}(x)). \end{array} \quad (2.23)$$

The commutative square on the left of (2.21) must be a pushout diagram, since the rightmost vertical map is an equality. It follows that as an equivariant bundle, $\mathrm{pr}_*(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ is of the form $G\times_B V_1$, where V_1 is the B-module

$$V_1 := \frac{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{g}\oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{n}}{\Delta(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\otimes\mathfrak{b})} . \quad (2.24)$$

Summarizing the discussion, we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 2.10. *As a $G\times\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant bundle on X, the pushforward tangent bundle $\mathrm{pr}_*(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ is isomorphic to*

$$\mathrm{pr}_*(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}) \cong G\times_B V_1.$$

□

With respect to the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, the module V_1 is $2\mathbb{Z}$-graded. Since it is a free $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-module, the grading is determined on the module generators. Elements in $\mathfrak{n}$ have degree -2. The generators coming from $\mathfrak{g}$ (and its subspace $\mathfrak{b}$) are homogeneous of degree zero, as the group action of G and $B\subset G$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ commutes with $\mathbb{C}^*$. For example, the degree -2 part of the bundle $\mathrm{pr}_*(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ equals $G\times_B\mathfrak{n}$, and the degree 0 part is given by

$$G\times_B\left(\frac{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{u}\otimes\mathfrak{n}}{\Delta(\mathfrak{b})}\right), \quad (2.25)$$

where $\Delta(x) = (x, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(x))$ for any $x\in\mathfrak{b}$. This leads to the following interesting observation, which we record here since it does not appear to be mentioned in the standard references.

Corollary 2.11. *Assume $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra. Let $\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^0$ denote the space of homogeneous vector fields of degree zero with respect to the given $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. Then $\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^0$ is spanned by the vector fields coming from the infinitesimal G-action, and the Euler field generated by the infinitesimal $\mathbb{C}^*$-action along the fibers:*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^0 \cong \mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{C}.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$, then the degree-zero infinitesimal deformation space is isomorphic to the G-module[4]

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^0 \cong \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\mathfrak{h}$ is equipped with the trivial G-action.

Proof. Evidently, the vector fields generated by the (infinitesimal) $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ have degree zero, since this group commutes with the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Conversely, by equation (2.25), we have a short exact sequence of vector bundles on X:

$$0 \longrightarrow G \times_B \mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\Delta} G \times_B (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{pr}_*(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking the long exact sequence in cohomology, the result is reduced to the following two claims:

(1) $\mathrm{H}^i(X, G \times_B \mathfrak{b}) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

(2) $\mathrm{H}^0(X, G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n})) = \mathbb{C}$.

Claim (1) appears in [VX15, Section 5.1]. It follows from taking cohomology of the sequence (2.13), combined with the fact that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, G \times_B \mathfrak{u}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, TX) \cong \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, G \times_B \mathfrak{g}),$$
$$\mathrm{H}^i(X, G \times_B \mathfrak{u}) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(X, TX) \cong 0 \cong \mathrm{H}^i(X, G \times_B \mathfrak{g}) \ \ (i \geq 1).$$

Claim (2) is a special case of the general fact that a (partial) flag variety associated to a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is *stable*, and stable manifolds have the property

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX)) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

However, we will give a more representation-theoretic proof of this fact below in Lemma 2.12.

To show the last part of the Corollary, by claim (1), we are again reduced to compute

$$\mathrm{H}^1(T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^0 \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X, G \times_B (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n})) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X, G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n})),$$

where the second isomorphism holds since $\mathrm{H}^1(X, G \times_B \mathfrak{g}) \cong 0$. When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$, one has the following useful formula [VX15, (5.7)]:

$$\mathrm{H}^k(X, \mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{u}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & k = 0, \\ 0 & k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Taking cohomology of the short exact sequence of vector bundles on X:

$$0 \longrightarrow G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and applying the above formula and claim (2), we obtain an isomorphism of G-representations

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{h})) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X, G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n})).$$

Here we have used that $G \times_B \mathfrak{h}$ is a trivial bundle, so that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{h})) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, G \times_B \mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}.$$

The result follows. □

[4]The result fails for other Lie types. Using similar methods and the equation [VX15, (5.23)], one can compute that for type B_2 the deformation space equals $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus L_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra, and X its associated flag variety. Then the space of global sections in $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX)$ is one-dimensional:*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX)) \cong \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{TX}.$$

Proof. Consider the natural contraction map

$$\mathfrak{u} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{u}, \quad x \otimes (f \otimes y) \mapsto \langle x, f \rangle y,$$

where $x, y \in \mathfrak{u}$, $f \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the Killing form. Applying $G \times_B (-)$ to this map, we see that TX is a right module over the sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX)$, and this action commutes with the left G-action on TX:

$$TX \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX) \cong G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})) \longrightarrow G \times_B \mathfrak{u} \cong TX.$$

It follows that, upon taking global sections, there exist commuting actions of the group G and the algebra $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX)) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX)$ on $\mathrm{H}^0(X, TX) \cong \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is an irreducible representation of G, it follows from Schur's lemma that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX)$ can be at most one-dimensional. It is in fact one-dimensional since $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(TX)$ has Id_{TX} as a nowhere-zero global section. □

2.3 Extension to the exterior product bundles

Our goal in this subsection is to understand the equivariant structure of $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$. As a first step, we consider the case of tensor products.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\widetilde{W}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, be $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant vector bundles on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, and suppose that W_i, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, are graded free $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-modules with compatible B-actions so that*

$$\mathrm{pr}_*(\widetilde{W}_i) \cong G \times_B W_i \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, k).$$

Then there is an isomorphism of $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant vector bundles on X:

$$\mathrm{pr}_* \left(\widetilde{W}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}} \widetilde{W}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}} \widetilde{W}_k \right) \cong G \times_B \left(W_1 \otimes_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} W_2 \otimes_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} \cdots \otimes_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} W_k \right).$$

Proof. This follows from the exactness of pr_* (Lemma 2.9) and the projection formula [Har77, Exercise III.8.3]. □

In what follows we will take all $\widetilde{W}_i$ to be $T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, so that the W_is in Lemma 2.13 are equal to V_1 of (2.24). In this case, the symmetric group S_n acts on both sides of the equation in Lemma 2.13. Tensoring this equation with the sign character of the symmetric group, we get the following statement.

Corollary 2.14. *The pushforward of the exterior product bundle $\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ onto X is a $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant bundle of the form*

$$\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}) \cong G \times_B \left(\wedge^k_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} V_1 \right),$$

where $\wedge^k_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} V_1$ stands for the exterior product of the graded free module V_1 over the polynomial algebra $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$. □

Let V_k denote the obtained graded $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-module:

$$V_k := \wedge^k_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} V_1. \tag{2.26}$$

It carries a natural $B \times \mathbb{C}^*$-action induced from the $B \times \mathbb{C}^*$-action on V_1. We will also write the total exterior algebra of V_1 as

$$V_\star := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{2n} \wedge^k_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} V_1, \tag{2.27}$$

where $n = \mathrm{rank}(V_1)/2 = \dim(X)$. The grading on $V_\star$ comes from the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. To write it out more explicitly, consider the natural surjective map

$$\wedge^k_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} \left(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n}\right) \longrightarrow V_k, \tag{2.28}$$

which is obtained by taking exterior powers of the natural projection map

$$S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow V_1.$$

Summing over all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain a map of total exterior algebras

$$\wedge^\star_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} \left(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n}\right) \longrightarrow V_\star, \tag{2.29}$$

Since $\Delta(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{b})$ sits inside $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n}$ as a direct $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-module summand, the kernel of (2.29) equals the ideal generated by the submodule $\Delta(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{b})$ on the left hand side. It follows that the module $V_\star$ can be identified with

$$V_\star \cong \frac{\wedge^\star_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})}(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n})}{\Delta(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{b}) \wedge_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} \left(\wedge^{\star-1}_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})}(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n})\right)}. \tag{2.30}$$

For instance, the term V_2 is isomorphic to

$$V_2 \cong \frac{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}}{\Delta(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{b}) \wedge (S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n})}. \tag{2.31}$$

We can read off the homogeneous terms easily from this expression, using that $\deg(\mathfrak{b}) = \deg(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, $\deg(\mathfrak{n}) = -2$ and $\deg(\mathfrak{u}) = 2$. Write

$$V_\star = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_\star^k \tag{2.32}$$

for the homogeneous decomposition of $V_\star$ with respect to the $\mathbb{C}^*$-grading.Then the lowest degree term of V_2 is just $V_2^{-4} = \mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}$ of degree -4. The degree -2 term equals

$$V_2^{-2} = \frac{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n})}{\Delta_2(\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n})}. \tag{2.33}$$

Here, Δ_2 is the map induced from $\Delta = (\iota, \mathrm{ad}_\mathfrak{n}) : \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n}$.

$$\Delta_2 : \mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes \mathrm{Id}_\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}), \tag{2.34}$$

with β being the anti-symmetrization map $\mathfrak{n}\otimes\mathfrak{n}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}$.

Next, for V_3, the two lowest degree terms are given by

$$V_3^{-6}=\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}. \tag{2.35}$$

$$V_3^{-4}=\frac{\mathfrak{g}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n})\oplus\mathfrak{u}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n})}{\Delta_3(\mathfrak{b}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}))}, \tag{2.36}$$

where Δ_3 is the composition map of $\Delta\otimes\mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}}$ by the anti-symmetrization map β:

$$\mathfrak{b}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n})\xrightarrow{\Delta\otimes\mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}}}\mathfrak{g}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n})\oplus\mathfrak{u}\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n})\xrightarrow{\beta}\mathfrak{g}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n})\oplus\mathfrak{u}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}). \tag{2.37}$$

A duality. In the last part of this section, we will discuss some basic duality results on the exterior product of the tangent bundle $T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. This will be applied later to cut down the amount of cohomological computations "almost" by half.

Recall that the Springer variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is holomorphic symplectic, i.e., it is equipped with a non-degenerate, anti-symmetric $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$-linear pairing ω:

$$\omega: T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}}T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}. \tag{2.38}$$

The symplectic form ω induces an isomorphism of bundles by contraction

$$\iota_\omega: T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\xrightarrow{\cong}T^*\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}. \tag{2.39}$$

Furthermore, since the top exterior power of $T^*\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is trivial, we have, for each $k\in\{0,\dots,n\}$, a non-degenerate pairing

$$\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}}\wedge^{2n-k}T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\longrightarrow\wedge^{2n}T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\cong\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}, \tag{2.40}$$

given by fiberwise exterior product, where $n=\dim(\mathfrak{n})=\dim(X)$. It follows by combining (2.40) and (2.39) that

$$\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\overset{(2.40)}{\cong}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}}(\wedge^{2n-k}T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}},\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})\cong\wedge^{2n-k}T^*\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\overset{(2.39)}{\cong}\wedge^{2n-k}T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}. \tag{2.41}$$

Pushing (2.41) forward to the flag variety and applying Corollary 2.14, we obtain the following result identifying the corresponding B-modules.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $n=\dim(X)$. For each $k\in\{0,\dots,n\}$, there is an isomorphism of graded B-modules*

$$V_k\cong V_{2n-k}.$$

More precisely, there is an isomorphism of B-modules

$$V_k^{-2r}\cong V_{2n-k}^{-2(n+r-k)}$$

for any $r\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Without the grading, the statement follows directly by the discussion before the Lemma, and thus we are reduced to match the gradings involved.

To do so, consider $T_x\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ where x is any point on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ living over the identity coset $eB \in X$. Choose a Chevalley basis for $\mathfrak{g}$

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_n, h_1, \dots, h_l, f_1, \dots, f_n | e_i \in \mathfrak{u}, f_i \in \mathfrak{n}\ (i = 1, \dots, n),\ h_k \in \mathfrak{h},\ (k = 1, \dots, l)\}$$

such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ form a dual basis under the symplectic pairing ω:

$$\omega(e_i, f_j) = -\omega(f_j, e_i) = \delta_{i,j}.$$

Then, by the $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-module structure of V_1 (see (2.24)), the elements in the collections

$$\{\overline{e}_i := (e_i, 0) \in (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n})/\Delta(\mathfrak{b}) | i = 1, \dots, n\}, \qquad \{f_i \in \mathfrak{n} | i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

form an $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-module basis for V_1.

For any unordered subset $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, denote by I^o the complementary set $\{1, \dots, n\} \backslash I$. We will write

$$e_I := e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}, \quad f_I := f_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i_k}.$$

Given any size-k subsets $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, ω extends to the pairing on $\wedge^k(T_x\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ by setting

$$\omega(e_I, f_J) = \omega(e_{i_1}, f_{j_1}) \cdots \omega(e_{i_k}, f_{j_k}) = \delta_{I,J}.$$

It induces, via pushing forward, a non-degenerate $\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})$-linear antisymmetric pairing

$$\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes_{\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})} \mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}),$$

which by abuse of notation is still denoted as ω. Upon restriction to the fiber over eB, we have an $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-linear anti-symmetric pairing on V_k defined similarly by

$$\omega(\overline{e}_I, f_J) = \omega(\overline{e}_{i_1}, f_{j_1}) \cdots \omega(\overline{e}_{i_k}, f_{j_k}) = \delta_{I,J}.$$

The wedge pairing (2.40) also descends to X to be an $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-linear map

$$V_k \otimes_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})} V_{2n-k} \xrightarrow{\wedge} V_{2n} \cong S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})\overline{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{e}_n \wedge f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n.$$

We can then check that the pushfoward of isomorphism (2.41) at the identity coset sends $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})$-basis elements consecutively to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_k & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Hom}_{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})}(V_{2n-k}, S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & V_{2n-k} \\ \overline{e}_I \wedge f_J & \mapsto & \pm\overline{e}_{I^o} \wedge f_{J^o} & \mapsto & \pm\overline{e}_{J^o} \wedge f_{I^o}, \end{array}$$

where $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a subset of size r and I is of size $k - r$. Since $\deg(f_i) = -2$ and $\deg(\overline{e}_i) = 0$, the degree $-2r$ element $\overline{e}_I \wedge f_J \in V_k^{-2r}$ is sent to $\pm\overline{e}_{J^o} \wedge f_{I^o} \in V_{2n-k}^{-2(n-(k-r))}$. The result now follows. ☐

Corollary 2.16. *Let $n = \dim(X)$. For each fixed $i, k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, there is an isomorphism of Hochschild cohomology groups*

$$\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2r} \cong \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^{2n-k} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2n+2k-2r}.$$

Proof. Use the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2r} &\cong \mathrm{H}^i(X, G \times_B V_k^{-2r}) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(X, G \times_B V_{2n-k}^{-2(n-k+r)}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^{2n-k} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2n+2k-2r}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows. ☐

Summary. To conclude, let us summarize the main results of this section.

By Theorem 2.3 cited from [BL07], the degree-zero Hochschild cohomology of the principal block of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ can be computed as the sheaf cohomology of the push-forward polyvector fields $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2r}$ ($k, r \in \mathbb{N}$) over the flag variety $X = G/B$, where the degree $-2r$ comes from the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action. Now Corollary 2.14 reveals the B-structure of the bundles

$$\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2r} \cong G \times_B V_k^{-2r},$$

thus allowing us to use Bott's Theorem 2.6 to compute the multiplicity of each G-isotypical component of the center via (relative) Lie algebra cohomology. Further, Proposition 2.8 uses the BGG theory to establish an equivalence between the required Lie algebra cohomology and the cohomology of a complex defined entirely in terms of the action of $U(\mathfrak{n})$ on the finite-dimensional B-module V_k^{-2r}. Finally Corollary 2.16 uses various dualities to obtain symmetries between the bigraded components of the center and reduce the computations almost by half.

These results will allow us to compute the center of the principal block of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ as a bigraded vector space, and to outline the method for computing the structure of the center of the principal block for $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_4)$. The method should work in general for any semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$; however the maps in the complex (2.7) in Proposition 2.8 depend on $\mathfrak{g}$ and on the dominant weight λ (although the latter dependence is minor since one can simply tensor the resolution for L_0 with L_λ), and they need to be determined in each case separately.

3 The center of the principal block of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_3$

Our goal in this section is to compute the sheaf cohomology groups appearing on the right hand side of Theorem 2.3 in the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$. We will use the general techniques developed in the previous section, as well as some methods specific to the given case.

3.1 Notation

Let G be the complex simple group $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ and $B \subset G$ be the Borel subgroup which consists of invertible lower triangular matrices of determinant one. We will denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G, which consists of traceless 3×3-matrices, and by $\mathfrak{b}$ the Lie algebra of B consisting of traceless lower triangular 3×3-matrices. Also set $\mathfrak{n} := [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$ to be the Lie algebra of the unipotent subgroup $N := [B, B] \subset B$ (strictly lower triangluar matrices), and $\mathfrak{h}$ to be the Lie algebra of the diagonal torus subgroup H of B. The group B acts on $\mathfrak{b}$ by the adjoint action, and $\mathfrak{n}$ is an invariant subspace. The induced B-action on $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{n}$ is then trivial. The dual representation $\mathfrak{n}^*$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}$ once we fix a B-invariant pairing, which we will do below.

Let us choose a Chevalley basis for the B-modules. Set

$$f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

The $\mathfrak{b}$-modules $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are then spanned by

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{C}f_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}f_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}f_3, \quad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathbb{C}h_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}h_2, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_3,$$

where $f_3 = [f_1, f_2]$ and $e_3 = [e_2, e_1]$. The subspace $\mathfrak{u} = \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_3 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{n}^*$ using the nondegenerate pairing $\langle e, f\rangle = \frac{1}{6}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathrm{ad}(e)\,\mathrm{ad}(f))$ for any $e \in \mathfrak{u}$, $f \in \mathfrak{n}$. With this definition we have $\langle e_i, f_j\rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Then $\mathfrak{u}$ is a $\mathfrak{b}$-module by the (co)adjoint action: $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}}(y) : \mathfrak{u} \to \mathfrak{u}$ defined by the formula $\langle \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}}(y)(e),\ f\rangle = \langle e, -\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(y)(f)\rangle$ for any $e \in \mathfrak{u}$, $y, f \in \mathfrak{n}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}$ maps to $\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{n})$ as it acts on $\mathfrak{n}$ by the adjoint action:

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(y) = \sum \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(y)_1 \otimes \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(y)_2, \tag{3.3}$$

where the components of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(y)$ are defined by the condition

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(y)(f) = \sum \langle \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(y)_1, f\rangle \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(y)_2.$$

See equation (2.20) and the discussion there for the general case.

Then we compute the effect of the map $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ on the basis of $\mathfrak{b}$:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(h_1) & = & -2e_1 \otimes f_1 + e_2 \otimes f_2 - e_3 \otimes f_3, \\ \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(h_2) & = & e_1 \otimes f_1 - 2e_2 \otimes f_2 - e_3 \otimes f_3, \\ \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_1) & = & e_2 \otimes f_3, \\ \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_2) & = & -e_1 \otimes f_3, \\ \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_3) & = & 0. \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

We will continue to use X to denote the flag variety G/B in this case, and write the tangent and cotangent sheaves as T_X and Ω_X respectively.

In particular, for $G = SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ the Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 specialize to the following result:

$$\mathrm{H}^i(X, \Omega_X^j) \cong \begin{cases} L_0, & i = j = 0, \text{ or } i = j = 3, \\ L_0^{\oplus 2}, & i = j = 1, \text{ or } i = j = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here and below, L_λ denotes the irreducible G-module with highest weight λ. In particular, $L_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$.

3.2 Sheaf cohomology of some vector bundles over the flag variety

In this subsection we will use various methods to compute the cohomology of some vector bundles over the flag variety in the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$. In particular, we will be interested in the sheaf cohomology of tensor product vector bundles of the form

$$\mathrm{H}^\bullet(X, \Omega_X^r \otimes \wedge^s T_X).$$

for the values of r and s required for the computation of the center. These vector bundles are composition factors in the sheaves $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^{r+s} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ that appear in Theorem 2.3.

For the ease of notation, we will sometimes drop the subscripts X decorating vector bundles in this part, with it understood that X is the flag variety for $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$. For instance, we will simply write the above cohomology groups as $\mathrm{H}^i(\Omega^r \otimes \wedge^s T)$.

Cohomology of $\Omega_X \otimes T_X$. Consider the equivariant bundle $G \times_B (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})$ that corresponds to the vector bundle $\Omega \otimes T$ over X. To illustrate the method developed in Seciton 2, we will compute its cohomology using the relative Lie algebra cohomology and the BGG resolution as proposed in Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.8.

Then for any dominant weight λ the multiplicity of L_λ in $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\Omega \otimes T)$ is given by the dimension of the relative Lie algebra cohomology $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{Hom}(L_\lambda, \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}))$ which can be computed as the cohomology of the complex (2.7) with $E = \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}$.

The only dominant weights λ such that $w \cdot \lambda$ appears as a weight of $\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}$ for some $w \in W$ are $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \rho$. Let us fix a basis of Chevalley generators $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of $\mathfrak{u}$ and a dual basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ as in equation (3.1). Then the nontrivial weight subspaces of $\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}$ with weights in the shifted-action orbit $\{w \cdot 0 | w \in S_3\}$ are spanned by

- for $w = 1$, $\{f_1 \otimes e_1, f_2 \otimes e_2, f_3 \otimes e_3\}$,
- for $w = s_1$, $\{f_3 \otimes e_2\}$,
- for $w = s_2$, $\{f_3 \otimes e_1\}$.

Then for $\lambda = 0$ the complex (2.7) has the form

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})[0] \xrightarrow{(f_1, f_2)} (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})[s_1 \cdot 0] \oplus (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})[s_2 \cdot 0] \longrightarrow 0.$$

An easy computation shows that the map (f_1, f_2) is surjective and its kernel is 1-dimensional. Therefore we have $\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{H}^0(\Omega \otimes T), L_0)) = 1$ and $\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega \otimes T), L_0)) = 0$.

Now if $\lambda = \rho$, the only nontrivial weight subspaces of weights $w \cdot \rho$ for $w \in W$ are spanned by $\{f_1 \otimes e_2\}$ for $w = s_1$ and $\{f_2 \otimes e_1\}$ for $w = s_2$. Then the complex (2.7) has nonvanishing terms concentrated in only one homological degree, namely

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})[s_1 \cdot \rho] \oplus (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})[s_2 \cdot \rho] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain that $\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_G(L_\rho, \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega \otimes T))) = 2$. Finally we conclude that the only nontrivial cohomology groups of $\Omega \otimes T$ over X are $\mathrm{H}^0(\Omega \otimes T) \cong L_0$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega \otimes T) \cong L_\rho^{\oplus 2}$. The same results hold for the cohomology of $\Omega^2 \otimes \wedge^2 T \cong \Omega \otimes T$.

Cohomology of $\Omega_X^2 \otimes T_X$. Next we consider the vector bundle $\Omega^2 \otimes T \cong G \times_B (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})$. In a similar vein as above, one is reduced to computing the relative Lie algebra cohomology $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{Hom}(L_\lambda, \wedge^2 \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}))$ as the cohomology of the complex (2.7) with $E = \wedge^2 \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}$ and various integral dominant weights λ.

The only dominant weight λ such that $w \cdot \lambda$ is a weight of $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}$ is $\lambda = 0$. The nontrivial weight spaces of weights $w \cdot 0$ for $w \in W$ are spanned by

- for $w = 1$, $f_1 \wedge f_2 \otimes e_3$,
- for $w = s_1$, $\{f_1 \wedge f_2 \otimes e_2, f_1 \wedge f_3 \otimes e_3\}$,
- for $w = s_2$, $\{f_1 \wedge f_2 \otimes e_1, f_2 \wedge f_3 \otimes e_3\}$.

Then the complex (2.7) for $E = \wedge^2 \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}$ and $\lambda = 0$ becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})[0] \xrightarrow{(f_1, f_2)} (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})[s_1 \cdot 0] \oplus (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u})[s_2 \cdot 0] \longrightarrow 0.$$

An easy computation shows that the map (f_1, f_2) is injective and its image is 1-dimensional. Therefore we have $\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_G(L_0, \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega^2 \otimes T))) = 0$ and $\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_G(L_0, \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega^3 \otimes T))) = 3$. Finally, the only nontrivial cohomology of $\Omega^2 \otimes T$ is $\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega^2 \otimes T) \cong L_0^{\oplus 3}$.

An alternative approach. For comparison, we include a more traditional way to compute the cohomology of these two bundles by using the BWB Theorem 2.4 and the geometry of this particular case.

The two lowest degree cohomology groups of $\Omega \otimes T$ has been covered in the proof of Corollary 2.11. However, here we will use the BWB Theorem to show that all other cohomology groups of this sheaf vanish and simutaneously compute the first two non-zero terms.

The vector bundle $T = TX$ has the following filtration by line bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_2} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where α_1 and α_2 are the simple roots of the A_2 root system. The dual vector bundle $\Omega = \Omega_X$ has the dual filtration:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} \longrightarrow \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_2} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}$$

Then the vector bundle $\Omega \otimes T$ has the following components in the filtration:

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 := \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_2} \subset \mathcal{Q}_2 := \mathcal{Q}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_0^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \subset \mathcal{Q}_3 := \mathcal{Q}_2 \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_2}.$$

Let us apply Theorem 2.4. Taking $\alpha_1 + \rho = 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_2 + \rho = 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_1$, we observe that all weights of $\mathcal{Q}_3/\mathcal{Q}_2$ are singular, and $\mathcal{Q}_3$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2$ have isomorphic cohomology groups. Also, all cohomology of degree higher than one in the above filtration vanishes. Then we have the long exact sequence of cohomologies

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Q}_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega \otimes T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Q}_2/\mathcal{Q}_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{Q}_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega \otimes T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{Q}_2/\mathcal{Q}_1) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Using Theorem 2.4 for the given line bundles, we obtain $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Q}_1) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{Q}_1) \cong L_0^{\oplus 2}$, $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Q}_2/\mathcal{Q}_1) \cong L_0^{\oplus 3}$, $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{Q}_2/\mathcal{Q}_1) \cong L_\rho^{\oplus 2}$. Then the sequence (3.7) becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega \otimes T) \longrightarrow L_0^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow L_0^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega \otimes T) \longrightarrow L_\rho^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.8}$$

We also observe that $\Omega \otimes T \cong \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}}(T)$ contains $\mathcal{O}$ as a direct summand. This corresponds to the fact that

$$\Omega \otimes T \cong \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}}(T) \cong G \times_B (\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}),$$

and, as B-representations, $\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u} \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{u})$ contains $\mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ as a direct summand. Now, Lemma 2.12 applies and tells us that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\Omega \otimes T) \cong L_0 \tag{3.9}$$

Then, plugging (3.9) into the long sequence (3.8), we have

$$0 \longrightarrow L_0 \to L_0^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow L_0^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega \otimes T) \longrightarrow L_\rho^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega \otimes T) \cong L_\rho^{\oplus 2}. \tag{3.10}$$

Now let us use Serre duality to compute the cohomology of $\Omega^2 \otimes T$:

$$\mathrm{H}^i(\Omega^2 \otimes T) \cong \mathrm{H}^{3-i}(\Omega \otimes \wedge^2 T \otimes \Omega^3)^* \cong \mathrm{H}^{3-i}(\Omega \otimes \Omega)^*, \tag{3.11}$$

where we have used the isomorphism $\wedge^2 T \otimes \Omega^3 \cong \Omega$. We have $\Omega \otimes \Omega \cong S^2(\Omega) \oplus \Omega^2$, and the cohomology $\mathrm{H}^i(\Omega^2)$ is known by Theorem 2.5. For $S^2\Omega$, we will use Serre duality again:

$$\mathrm{H}^{3-i}(S^2\Omega)^* \cong \mathrm{H}^i(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3). \tag{3.12}$$

Taking the symmetric product of the filtration (3.5), and using the fact that the canonical bundle $\Omega^3 \cong \mathcal{L}_{-2\alpha_1-2\alpha_2}$, we obtain the filtration of $S^2T \otimes \Omega^3$ by vector subbundles:

$$\mathcal{R}_1 := \mathcal{L}_{-2\alpha_2} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-2\alpha_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} \subset \mathcal{R}_2 := \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_2} \subset \mathcal{R}_3 := \mathcal{R}_2 \oplus \mathcal{L}_0.$$

Analyzing the weights by Theorem 2.4, we notice that the subbundle $\mathcal{R}_1$ contributes nothing to cohomology, and all cohomology of $S^2T \otimes \Omega^3$ in degrees greater than 1 vanishes.

By Theorem 2.4, $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{R}_2) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{R}_2) \cong L_0^{\oplus 2}$, $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{L}_0) \cong L_0$, and $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{L}_0) = 0$. Then we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_2 \longrightarrow S^2T \otimes \Omega^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.13}$$

which induces the sequence of cohomology groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{L}_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{R}_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) \longrightarrow L_0 \longrightarrow L_0^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.14}$$

We claim that $\mathrm{H}^0(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) \cong 0$. Otherwise, it would be isomorphic to L_0 by (3.14), which in turn means that the bundle has a G-equivariant global section splitting the projection map $S^2T \otimes \Omega^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$. However, observe that the sequence (3.13) is non-split. Indeed, the existence of a nonzero map $\mathcal{L}_0 \longrightarrow S^2T \otimes \Omega^3$ would imply the existence of a map on the level of the corresponding B-modules, but the filtration for $S^2T \otimes \Omega^3$ shows that it corresponds to a cyclic B-module generated by a single highest-weight-0 vector. Therefore, we conclude that $\mathrm{H}^0(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) = 0$, and then the cohomology sequence (3.14) implies that $\mathrm{H}^1(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) \cong L_0$.

It follows from this discussion that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega^2 \otimes T) &\cong \mathrm{H}^3(\Omega \otimes \Omega)^* \cong \mathrm{H}^3(S^2\Omega)^* \oplus \mathrm{H}^3(\Omega^2)^* \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) \oplus \mathrm{H}^3(\Omega^2)^* \cong 0 \oplus 0 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega^2 \otimes T) &\cong \mathrm{H}^2(\Omega \otimes \Omega)^* \cong \mathrm{H}^2(S^2\Omega)^* \oplus \mathrm{H}^2(\Omega^2)^* \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(S^2T \otimes \Omega^3) \oplus \mathrm{H}^2(\Omega^2)^* \\ &\cong L_0 \oplus L_0^{\oplus 2} = L_0^{\oplus 3}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

List of cohomology over X. For later use, we collect in a single table all results on cohomology groups of various sheaves over the three-dimensional flag variety $X = SL_3(\mathbb{C})/B$.

	H^0	H^1	H^2	H^3
$\mathcal{O}$	L_0	0	0	0
Ω	0	$L_0^{\oplus 2}$	0	0
Ω^2	0	0	$L_0^{\oplus 2}$	0
Ω^3	0	0	0	L_0
T	L_ρ	0	0	0
$\Omega \otimes T$	L_0	$L_\rho^{\oplus 2}$	0	0
$\Omega^2 \otimes \wedge^2 T$	L_0	$L_\rho^{\oplus 2}$	0	0
$\Omega^2 \otimes T$	0	$L_0^{\oplus 3}$	0	0

(3.17)

3.3 The $\mathfrak{sl}_3$-center computation

In this subsection, we will use Theorem 2.3,

$$\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+k=0} \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k,$$

to describe the center of the principal block $u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0$ of the small quantum group for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is 6-dimensional, the index j changes from 0 to 6.

Following the computation of the $\mathfrak{b}$-module structure corresponding to $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^\star T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ given in Section 2, we could have used Theorem 2.6 to compute their cohomology. In fact, in many cases we will manage to obtain the results by simpler explicit arguments presented below. However, the three crucial cases ($j = 2, 3, 4$) benefit from the application of the relative Lie cohomology and the BGG complex.

We will use the short exact sequence of sheaves on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, induced by the projection along the fiber $\mathrm{pr} : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow X := G/B$:

$$0 \to T_{vert} \cong \mathrm{pr}^*\Omega_X \to T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \to T_{hor} \cong \mathrm{pr}^* T_X \to 0, \tag{3.18}$$

where T_{vert} consists of vectors tangent to the (vertical) fiber direction and T_{hor} projects onto the tangent bundle of the base (c. f. the sequence (2.15)). The k-degrees of the components are $\deg_k(\Omega_X) = -2$, $\deg_k(T_X) = 0$.

Case $j = 0$. Then $i = k = 0$ and we have

$$\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=0} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})^0 \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong L_0.$$

Case $j = 1$. We have to compute $\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=1} \cong \oplus_{i+k=-1}\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k$. The short exact sequence (3.18) shows that the only possible value of k in this case is $k = -2$ and it corresponds to the subsheaf $\mathrm{pr}^*\Omega_X \subset T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. Then $i = 1$ and we have

$$\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=1} \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2} \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X) \cong L_0^{\oplus 2}.$$

Case $j = 2$**.** We have to find $\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=2} \cong \oplus_{i+k=-2}\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k$. The admissible values of k are $k = -2$ and $k = -4$. If $k = -4$, then $i = 2$ and the sheaf is the exterior square of T_{vert}:

$$\mathrm{H}^2(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-4} \cong \mathrm{H}^2(X, \Omega_X^2) \cong L_0^{\oplus 2}.$$

When $k = -2$, $i = 0$, in order to find

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2}),$$

we will compute the cohomology of $\mathcal{F}_1 := \mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2}$ on X. In this case, two subquotients of $\mathcal{F}_1$ can contribute to the cohomology: $\Omega_X^2 \otimes T_X$ and $\Omega_X \otimes T_X$. Indeed we have the following sequence of vector bundles over X:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^2 \otimes T \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \Omega \otimes T \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.19}$$

This induces a long exact sequence of cohomology groups over X:

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega^2 \otimes T) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_1) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Omega \otimes T) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega^2 \otimes T) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_1) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega \otimes T) \to 0.$$

Plugging in the terms from Table (3.17), we are left with the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_1) \longrightarrow L_0 \longrightarrow L_0^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_1) \longrightarrow L_\rho^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.20}$$

which tells us that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_1)$ is either zero or isomorphic to L_0 as a G-module.

To determine which case it is, we need a more careful study of the equivariant sheaf $\mathcal{F}_1$. Recall from Corollary 2.14, and in particular formula (2.33), that

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \cong G \times_B V_2^{-2},$$

where V_2^{-2} is the B-module (in fact a $B \times \mathbb{C}^*$-module, where the superscript -2 indicates the module has $\mathbb{C}^*$-weight or degree equal to -2)

$$V_2^{-2} = \frac{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n})}{\Delta_2(\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n})}.$$

Here the map Δ_2 is given as the composition

$$\Delta_2 : \mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{(\iota, \mathrm{ad}_\mathfrak{n}) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}).$$

The sequence (3.19) comes from the corresponding short exact sequence of B-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow V_2^{-2} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n}}{\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n}} \cong \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.21}$$

The cohomology $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega \otimes T) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, G \times_B (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n})) \cong L_0$ comes from the splitting of the B-module

$$\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{n}) \cong \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{n}),$$

where $\mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{n})$ stands for the space of traceless endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$. The subspace $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_\mathfrak{n}$ spans a trivial B-submodule, and upon differentiation, a trivial $\mathfrak{b}$-submodule. To determine whether

$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_1)$ is nonvanishing or not, we need to find out whether the split inclusion $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{n}} \subset \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n}$ lifts to a trivial B-summand in V_2^{-2}:



The map Δ_2 can now be easily computed on the tensor product basis of $\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n}$ chosen as in equations (3.1) and (3.2). For instance

$$\Delta_2(h_1 \otimes f_1) = h_1 \otimes f_1 + \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(h_1) \wedge f_1 = h_1 \otimes f_1 - e_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3, \tag{3.22}$$

$$\Delta_2(h_2 \otimes f_2) = h_2 \otimes f_2 + \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(h_2) \wedge f_2 = h_2 \otimes f_2 + e_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 - e_3 \otimes f_3 \wedge f_2. \tag{3.23}$$

Lemma 3.1. *The surjective composition map*

$$V_2^{-2} = \frac{\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}}{\Delta_2(\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n})} \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}) \otimes \mathfrak{n} \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{n}}$$

splits as a map of $\mathfrak{b}$-modules, and the splitting summand is spanned by the element

$$z := e_1 \otimes f_1 + e_2 \otimes f_2 + e_3 \otimes f_3 - e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2$$

modulo $\Delta_2(\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n})$.

Proof. It is clear that z maps to the element $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ under the composition map, since by our normalization, $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$. It suffices to show that z is annihilated by all elements of $\mathfrak{b}$.

To prove this, notice that the weight of z is zero. Thus $\mathfrak{h}$ acts trivially on z. We are reduced to showing that f_1 and f_2 both kill z, and the result will follow since $f_3 = [f_1, f_2]$.

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 \cdot z \;&=\; [f_1, e_1] \otimes f_1 + e_1 \otimes [f_1, f_1] + [f_1, e_2] \otimes f_2 + e_2 \otimes [f_1, f_2] + [f_1, e_3] \otimes f_3 + e_3 \otimes [f_1, f_3] \\ &\quad - ([f_1, e_3] \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + e_3 \otimes [f_1, f_1] \wedge f_2 + e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge [f_1, f_2]) \\ &=\; -h_1 \otimes f_1 + e_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 - e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from equation (3.22) that

$$f_1 \cdot z = -\Delta_2(h_1 \otimes f_1),$$

so that $f_1 \cdot z \equiv 0 \pmod{\Delta_2(\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n})}$. Likewise, we have

$$f_2 \cdot z \;=\; -h_2 \otimes f_2 - e_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + e_3 \otimes f_3 \wedge f_2,$$

which equals $-\Delta_2(h_2 \otimes f_2)$ by equation (3.23) and thus becomes zero in V_2^{-2}. The result now follows. □

Lemma 3.1 tells us that the bundle $\mathcal{F}_1 \cong G \times_B V_2^{-2}$ does contain a G-equivariant trivial summand $\mathcal{O}_X$, and should thus have its space of global sections at least one-dimensional. Combined with the sequence (3.20), we have the equality

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_1) \cong L_0.$$

Remark 3.2. The computation of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_1)$ given above shows the explicit geometric and algebraic structures that contribute to the nontrivial cohomology. A more direct geometric meaning of the spanning global section in the general case will be given in Lemma 4.1. Alternatively, we can compute this cohomology using the BGG resolution approach as described in Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.8. Since we need to compute only the zeroth cohomology, the first step is to find which dominant weights appear in V_2^{-2}. We immediately see that the only dominant weight occurring in V_2^{-2} is zero, and that the subspace $V_2^{-2}[0]$ is spanned by the elements $\{e_1 \otimes f_1, e_2 \otimes f_2, e_3 \otimes f_3, e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2\}$. Then we need to compute the zeroth cohomology of the complex (2.7) for $E = V_2^{-2}$ and $\lambda = 0$:

$$0 \longrightarrow V_2^{-2}[0] \stackrel{(f_1,f_2)}{\longrightarrow} V_2^{-2}[s_1 \cdot 0] \oplus V_2^{-2}[s_2 \cdot 0] \longrightarrow \cdots$$

The dimension of the zeroth cohomology group of this complex is equal to the dimension of the intersection of the kernels of f_1 and f_2 acting on $V_2^{-2}[0]$. This is the dimension of the subspace $S \subset (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n})[0] \oplus (\mathfrak{u} \otimes \wedge^2\mathfrak{n})[0]$ such that $f_1(S) \subset \Delta_2(\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n})$ and $f_2(S) \subset \Delta_2(\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n})$. Using the structure of the submodule $\Delta_2(\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{n})$ (equations (3.22) and (3.23) suffice for our purposes), we compute that $\dim(S) = 1$, and S is spanned by the cocycle z in Lemma 3.1.

Case $j = 3$. We have to find $\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=3} \cong \oplus_{i+k=-3}\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^3 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k$. The admissible values of k are $k = -4$ and $k = -6$.

If $k = -6$, then $i = 3$. We have $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^3 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-6} \cong \Omega_X^3$, so that

$$\mathrm{H}^3(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^3 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-6} \cong \mathrm{H}^3(X, \Omega_X^3) \cong L_0.$$

When $k = -4$ and $i = 1$, let us consider the bundle $\mathcal{F}_2 := \mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^3 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-4}$. Two subquotient bundles can contribute to the cohomology, namely $\Omega_X^3 \otimes T_X$ and $\Omega_X^2 \otimes T_X$, and they fit into the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^3 \otimes T \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow \Omega^2 \otimes T \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since Ω^3 is the canonical bundle of X, using the isomorphism $\Omega^3 \otimes T \cong \Omega^2$, we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow \Omega^2 \otimes T \longrightarrow 0.$$

This induces the following long exact sequence in cohomology, part of which looks like

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega^2 \otimes T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(\Omega^2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{F}_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(\Omega^2 \otimes T) \longrightarrow \cdots . \tag{3.24}$$

We are interested only in the term $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_2)$. Using the known cohomology groups of Ω^2 and $\Omega^2 \otimes T$ from Table 3.17, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_2) \longrightarrow L_0^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow L_0^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{F}_2) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.25}$$

This shows that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_2)$ is at least one-dimensional and may be isomorphic to m copies of the trivial G-module, with $1 \leq m \leq 3$. To compute the multiplicity m, we will use Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.8.

By Corollary 2.14 and formula (2.36), we know that the $\mathfrak{b}$-module structure corresponding to the sheaf $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^3 T(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}))^{-4}$ is given by

$$V_3^{-4} = \frac{\mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}) \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n})}{\Delta_3(\mathfrak{b} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}))},$$

where

$$\Delta_3 : \mathfrak{b} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{(\iota, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}}} \mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}) \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}) \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}).$$

Then Theorem 2.6 states that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(L_0, \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^3 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-4}) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, V_3^{-4}).$$

To compute this relative Lie algebra cohomology, we need to find the dominant weights λ such that $s_1 \cdot \lambda$ or $s_2 \cdot \lambda$ is a weight in V_3^{-4}. The only weight with this property is $\lambda = 0$. The weight subspaces of weights $w \cdot 0$ for $w = \{1, s_1, s_2\}$ are spanned by the following vectors (modulo $\Delta_3(\mathfrak{b} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n})$):

- for $w = 1$, $\{e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2\}$,
- for $w = s_1$, $\{e_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2, e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3\}$,
- for $w = s_2$, $\{e_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2, e_3 \otimes f_2 \wedge f_3\}$.

Then the complex (2.7) for $E = V_3^{-4}$ and $\lambda = 0$ becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow V_3^{-4}[0] \xrightarrow{d_1^*} V_3^{-4}[s_1 \cdot 0] \oplus V_3^{-4}[s_2 \cdot 0] \xrightarrow{d_2^*} V_3^{-4}[s_2 s_1 \cdot 0] \oplus V_3^{-4}[s_1 s_2 \cdot 0] \longrightarrow \cdots . \quad (3.26)$$

Lemma 3.3. *The first cohomology group of the complex (3.26) is 3-dimensional.*

Proof. The maps d_1^* and d_2^* in the complex (3.26) are given by the diagram (2.10). In particular, $d_1^* = f_1 \oplus f_2$, and

$$d_2^*|_{V_3^{-4}[s_1 \cdot 0]} = f_2^2 \oplus (-2f_1 f_2 + f_2 f_1), \qquad d_2^*|_{V_3^{-4}[s_2 \cdot 0]} = f_1^2 \oplus (-2f_2 f_1 + f_1 f_2).$$

It is easy to see that the image of the map d_1^* acting on $V_3^{-4}[0]$ is one-dimensional. Indeed, we have

$$f_1(e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2) = -e_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3,$$
$$f_2(e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2) = e_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 - e_3 \otimes f_3 \wedge f_2.$$

These elements are not in the submodule $\Delta_3(\mathfrak{b} \otimes (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}))$ because by construction this submodule does not intersect the subspace $\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{n}$.

To find the kernel of d_2^*, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1^2(e_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2) = -2f_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 - 2h_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3,\\
&f_1^2(e_3 \otimes f_2 \wedge f_3) = 0,\\
&f_2^2(e_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2) = -2f_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + 2h_2 \otimes f_3 \wedge f_2,\\
&f_2^2(e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3) = 0,\\
&(-2f_2 f_1 + f_1 f_2)(e_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2) = -2f_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 - h_1 \otimes f_3 \wedge f_2,\\
&(-2f_2 f_1 + f_1 f_2)(e_3 \otimes f_2 \wedge f_3) = -h_1 \otimes f_2 \wedge f_3 - 2h_2 \otimes f_2 \wedge f_3,\\
&(-2f_1 f_2 + f_2 f_1)(e_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2) = -2f_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + h_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3,\\
&(-2f_1 f_2 + f_2 f_1)(e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3) = h_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3 + 2h_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3.
\end{aligned}$$

The right hand side terms of all these equations lie in the submodule $\Delta_3(\mathfrak{b}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}))$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_3(h_1\otimes f_3\wedge f_1) &= h_1\otimes f_3\wedge f_1 + e_2\otimes f_1\wedge f_2\wedge f_3,\\
\Delta_3(h_2\otimes f_1\wedge f_2) &= h_2\otimes f_1\wedge f_2 - e_3\otimes f_1\wedge f_2\wedge f_3,\\
\Delta_3(h_2\otimes f_2\wedge f_3) &= h_2\otimes f_2\wedge f_3 + e_1\otimes f_1\wedge f_2\wedge f_3,\\
\Delta_3(h_2\otimes f_3\wedge f_1) &= h_2\otimes f_3\wedge f_1 - 2e_2\otimes f_1\wedge f_2\wedge f_3,\\
\Delta_3(f_1\otimes f_1\wedge f_2) &= f_1\otimes f_1\wedge f_2 + e_2\otimes f_1\wedge f_2\wedge f_3,\\
\Delta_3(f_2\otimes f_1\wedge f_2) &= f_2\otimes f_1\wedge f_2 - e_1\otimes f_1\wedge f_2\wedge f_3.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the equalities

$$f_1\otimes f_1\wedge f_2 \equiv h_1\otimes f_3\wedge f_1 \equiv -\frac{1}{2}h_2\otimes f_3\wedge f_1 \pmod{\Delta_3(\mathfrak{b}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}))},$$

$$f_2\otimes f_1\wedge f_2 \equiv -h_2\otimes f_2\wedge f_3 \equiv \frac{1}{2}h_1\otimes f_2\wedge f_3 \pmod{\Delta_3(\mathfrak{b}\otimes(\mathfrak{n}\wedge\mathfrak{n}))}.$$

Thus we obtain that the kernel of d_2^* in the complex (3.26) is 4-dimensional, and its first cohomology group is 3-dimensional. □

Therefore the cohomology $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{h},V_3^{-4})$ is 3-dimensional and we have $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_2)\cong L_0^{\oplus 3}$. According to the sequence (3.25), this implies that $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{F}_2)\cong L_0^{\oplus 2}$, a result that can be confirmed explicitly by computing the cohomology of the next term of the complex (3.26).

Finally, we have obtained the component of the center

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}},\wedge^3T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-4}\cong L_0^{\oplus 3}.$$

Case $j=4$**.** The computations in this case can be reduced to the $j=2$ case via Corollary 2.16. However, for the sake of completeness, we also record a direct computation here.

We have to find $\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=4}\cong\oplus_{i+k=-4}\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}},\wedge^4T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k$. The admissible values of k are $k=-4$ and $k=-6$.

If $k=-6$, then $i=2$ and the degree -6 part of $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^4T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ is equal to $\Omega_X^3\otimes T_X$:

$$\mathrm{H}^2(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}},\wedge^4T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-6}\cong\mathrm{H}^2(X,\Omega_X^3\otimes T_X)\cong\mathrm{H}^2(X,\Omega_X^2)\cong L_0^{\oplus 2}.$$

In the second isomorphism we have used the identification of sheaves over the three-dimensional variety X:

$$\Omega_X^3\otimes T_X\cong\Omega_X^2.$$

When $k=-4$, $i=0$, let $\mathcal{F}_3:=\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^4T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-4}$, which fits into the following short exact sequence of vector bundles over X:

$$0\longrightarrow\Omega^3\otimes T\otimes T\longrightarrow\mathcal{F}_3\longrightarrow\Omega^2\otimes\wedge^2T\longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Using isomorphism of vector bundles $\Omega^3\otimes T\cong\Omega^2$, we get

$$0\longrightarrow\Omega^2\otimes T\longrightarrow\mathcal{F}_3\longrightarrow\Omega^2\otimes\wedge^2T\longrightarrow 0.$$

We then obtain the long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$0\longrightarrow\mathrm{H}^0(\Omega^2\otimes T)\longrightarrow\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_3)\longrightarrow\mathrm{H}^0(\Omega^2\otimes\wedge^2T)\longrightarrow\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega^2\otimes T)\longrightarrow\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_3)\longrightarrow\cdots,$$

which further reduces to (Table (3.17))

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{F}_3) \longrightarrow L_0 \longrightarrow L_0^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_3) \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

We are again left to find out whether the sequence (3.27) splits equivariantly.

To determine whether the splitting happens, we need a more detailed understanding of the bundle $\mathcal{F}_3 \cong G \times_B V_4^{-4}$, where

$$V_4^{-4} = (\wedge^4 V_1)^{-4} \cong \frac{\wedge^2\mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge^3\mathfrak{n}}{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}) \wedge (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \otimes \wedge^3\mathfrak{n})}. \tag{3.28}$$

Lemma 3.4. *In terms of the Chevalley basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ chosen in* (3.1) *and* (3.2)*, the element*

$$w := e_1 \wedge e_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3 + e_2 \wedge e_3 \otimes f_2 \wedge f_3 - e_3 \otimes e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$$

spans a trivial summand in the $\mathfrak{b}$-module V_4^{-4}.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1. One is reduced to checking the following identities

$$f_1 \cdot w = -\Delta(h_1) \wedge (e_2 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + e_3 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_3)),$$
$$f_2 \cdot w = -\Delta(h_2) \wedge (e_1 \otimes f_1 \wedge f_2 + e_3 \otimes f_2 \wedge f_3)).$$

□

Remark. The element w is proportional to the second wedge power of the element z found in Lemma 3.1. A more general description of z and its powers will be given in Section 4.

Case $j = 5$. In this case, $\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=5} \cong \oplus_{i+j=-5}\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^5 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k$ and the only admissible value of k is $k = -6$, corresponding to the sheaf $\wedge^3 T_{vert} \otimes \wedge^2 T_{hor}$. We have

$$\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=5} \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \mathrm{pr}^*(\Omega_X^3 \otimes \wedge^2 T_X)) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X^3 \otimes \wedge^2 T_X) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X) \cong L_0^{\oplus 2}.$$

Case $j = 6$. Then $k = -6$, $i = 0$ and for rank reasons the sheaf $\wedge^6 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \cong \wedge^3 T_{vert} \otimes \wedge^3 T_{hor}$. We have

$$\mathrm{HH}^0(u_q(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{j=6} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \mathrm{pr}^*(\Omega_X^3 \otimes \wedge^3 T_X)) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong L_0.$$

Summary. Let us introduce the following notion for any semisimple Lie group G over a characteristic-zero algebraically closed field.

As in the $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ case, let $X = G/B$ be the flag variety whose complex dimension equals n, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} := T^*X$ be the Springer variety.

Definition 3.5. The *formal Hodge diamond*[5] for the variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is the bigraded zeroth Hochschild cohomology table

$\mathrm{H}^0(\wedge^0 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^0$			
$\mathrm{H}^1(\wedge^1 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2}$	$\mathrm{H}^0(\wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2}$		
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	
$\mathrm{H}^n(\wedge^n T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2n}$	$\mathrm{H}^{n-1}(\wedge^{n+1} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2n}$	$\cdots$	$\mathrm{H}^0(\wedge^{2n} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2n}$

. (3.29)

[5]Here we keep in mind that $\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \cong T_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$.

The empty boxes indicate that the corresponding spaces vanish due to degree reasons. The dimension of each entry will be denoted by

$$h^{i,j} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{H}^i(\wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-i-j}).$$

Theorem 3.6. *The dimension table for the formal Hodge diamond for small quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ is given by*

$j+i=0$	1			
$j+i=2$	2	1		
$j+i=4$	2	3	1	
$j+i=6$	1	2	2	1
$h^{i,j}$	$j-i=0$	$j-i=2$	$j-i=4$	$j-i=6$

.

In particular, the center of the principal block for $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ is 16-dimensional. Furthermore, each entry $\mathrm{H}^i(\wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-i-j}$ in the formal Hodge diamond is a direct sum of trivial $\mathfrak{sl}_3$-representations.

The table suggests that there is a bigraded isomorphism of vector spaces between the formal Hodge diamond for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ and the diagonal coinvariant algebra for S_3 [Hai94] (the general definition will be recalled in Section 4.2):

$$\mathrm{DC}_3 := \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3]}{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3]_+^{S_3}}.$$

When equipped with the bigrading $\deg(x_i) = (1, 0)$ and $\deg(y_i) = (0, 1)$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$, the bigraded dimension table for DC_3 ($d^{i,j} := \dim(\mathrm{DC}_3^{i,j})$) is

$i=3$	1			
$i=2$	2	1		
$i=1$	2	3	1	
$i=0$	1	2	2	1
$d^{i,j}$	$j=0$	$j=1$	$j=2$	$j=3$

.

In particular, we have the equality

$$d^{i,j} = h^{3-i-j,3+j-i}, \tag{3.30}$$

or equivalently

$$h^{i,j} = d^{3-\frac{i+j}{2},\frac{j-i}{2}}. \tag{3.31}$$

Remark 3.7. The striking similarity between the formal Hodge diamond and the diagonal coinvariant algebra, in hindsight, is already evident (although not easily guessed!) for the principal block center $\mathsf{z}_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ from the work of Kerler [Ker95], who computed it to be 3-dimensional. Using the method we have developed in this paper, it is easy to see that

$j+i=0$	1	
$j+i=2$	1	1
$h^{i,j}$	$j-i=0$	$j-i=2$

, (3.32)

while the diagonal coinvariant algebra

$$\mathrm{DC}_2 := \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]}{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]^{S_2}_+}$$

has its bigraded dimension table equal to

i=1	1	
i=0	1	1
$d^{i,j}$	j=0	j=1

. (3.33)

A conjecture generalizing these similarities between the principal block of the center and the diagonal coinvariant algebra will be formulated in Section 4.2.

4 Symmetries of the center

4.1 An $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action on the center

Corollary 2.16 shows that the formal Hodge diamond (3.29) has a $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$-symmetry of reflecting the entire table about the anti-diagonal. In this section we will obtain additional symmetry results for the principal block of the center by constructing an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ action on the formal Hodge diamond. This action is reminiscent of the usual $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action on the Dolbeault cohomology of a compact Kähler manifold.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ its associated Springer resolution, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2} \cong L_0,$$

and it is spanned by the holomorphic Poisson bivector field τ which is dual to the canonical symplectic form ω on $T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof. It is clear that the Poisson bivector field is a global section of $\wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ that has degree -2. It is G-equivariant because its dual, the degree-2 symplecitic form ω, is preserved under the infinitesimal G-action: for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the induced vector field $X \in \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})$ is Hamiltonian: $L_X(\omega) = 0$. It remains to give an upper bound for the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^2\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2}$.

The bundle $\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2}$ fits into a short exact sequence on X

$$0 \longrightarrow T \otimes \Omega^2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^2 T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2} \longrightarrow T \otimes \Omega \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking sections, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, T \otimes \Omega^2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^2\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, T \otimes \Omega) \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

Using Lemma 2.12 that $\mathrm{H}^0(X, T \otimes \Omega) \cong \mathbb{C}$, the claim will follow from the next vanishing result. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra and X be its associated flag variety. Then*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, T_X \otimes \Omega_X^2) = 0.$$

Proof. In the proof, we identify $T_X \cong G \times_B \mathfrak{u}$ and $\Omega_X \cong G \times_B \mathfrak{n}$, and abbreviate the corresponding cohomology as $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) := \mathrm{H}^\bullet(X, T_X)$ etc. Consider the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$G \times_B \left(0 \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u} \longrightarrow 0\right).$$

Taking cohomology, we get that

$$0 \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{u}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{b}),$$

and it suffices to show that $\mathrm{H}^1(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{b}) = 0$.

To do this, we use a second short exact sequence

$$G \times_B \left(0 \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow 0\right)$$

to bound the interested-in $\mathrm{H}^1(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{n})$:

$$0 \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{n}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \cong 0.$$

Therefore, the desired vanishing result will follow if we show $\mathrm{H}^1(\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{n}) = 0$. This can be done by analyzing the weights in the module $\wedge^2\mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{n}$, which are of the form $\lambda = -\alpha - \beta - \gamma$, where α, β, γ are positive roots such that α and β are distinct. For such a weight to contribute to the first cohomology, we need the shifted simple reflection action on λ

$$s_i \cdot \lambda = -(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (\langle \alpha + \beta + \gamma, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle - 1)\alpha_i$$

to be a dominant weight, where α_i is some simple root, and s_i is the corresponding simple refection. Since the dominant weight chamber is contained in the positive root cone, this can never happen as α, β are distinct. □

Consider the following $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$-linear bundle operations on the total exterior product bundle $\wedge^\star T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$: given a local section of $\wedge^\star T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, define

$$\tau \wedge (-) : \wedge^\star T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \wedge^{\star+2} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \eta \mapsto \tau \wedge \eta, \tag{4.1}$$

to be the wedge product with the Poisson bivector field, and

$$\iota_\omega(-) : \wedge^\star T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \wedge^{\star-2} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \eta \mapsto \iota_\omega(\eta), \tag{4.2}$$

to be the contraction map with the symplectic form ω.

Theorem 4.3. *The two maps $\tau\wedge$ and ι_ω satisfy the relation*

$$[\iota_\omega, \tau\wedge] = n - \deg : \wedge^\star T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \wedge^\star T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Consequently, they induce an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action[6] *on the Hochschild cohomology groups*

$$\tau\wedge : \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^{j+2} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{k-2},$$

$$\iota_\omega : \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^{j-2} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{k+2}.$$

[6] Or rather, it should be $\mathfrak{sp}_2$.

Proof. Since multiplication by τ and contraction with ω are $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$-linear, it suffices to check the commutator relation on any fiber of the bundle $\wedge^\star T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ at a point $p \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$.

Locally, if we choose a symplectic coordinates system $\{x_i, y_i | i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ near a point $p \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ such that

$$\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}) = -\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) = \delta_{i,j},$$

then the Poisson bivector field can be written locally as

$$\tau_p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i},$$

and the symplectic form ω equals

$$\omega_p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i \wedge dy_i.$$

Since distinct pairs of vectors $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\}$ (or dual vectors $\{dx_i, dy_i\}$) do not interact with each other, we are reduced to the case when $n = 1$, and higher n cases follow by taking tensor products.

When $n = 1$, we have

$$\wedge^\star(\mathbb{C}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}) \cong \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \mathbb{C}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}.$$

Wedging with τ_p sends 1 to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ while contracting with ω_p takes $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ back to 1. Both operations kill the middle terms $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$. The result follows. □

Corollary 4.4. *For any $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, there are isomorphisms of Hochschild cohomology groups*

$$\tau^j \wedge (-) : \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^{n-j} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^k \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^{n+j} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{k-2j}.$$

Proof. Follows from the previous Theorem 4.3 and basic $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ representation theory. □

Remark 4.5. The statements of Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 hold for many interesting holomorphic symplectic varieties that appear naturally in representation theory, such as Nakajima quiver varieties [Nak94]. The cotangent bundle of (partial) flag varieties in type A are special cases of quiver varieties. In the sequel [LQ16], we will discuss more examples in this family.

Recall from [BL07, Proposition 11] that $\mathsf{z}_0 := \mathsf{z}_0(u_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ contains as a subalgebra two copies of the coinvariant algebra intersecting in a 1-dimensional space. The first copy sits in z_0 as the left most column in Table 3.29 and forms a genuine subalgebra. It is isomorphic to the pull-back of the usual cohomology ring of the flag variety $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ into the zeroth Hochschild cohomology of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. The second copy, consisting of nilpotent elements, coincide with the bottom row of the formal Hodge diamond and constitutes the radical of z_0. The coinvariant algebra can be combinatorially defined as

$$\mathrm{C}_\mathfrak{g} := \frac{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})}{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})^W_+}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$ and W is the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Using Theorem 4.3, one obtains a larger subalgebra in the center, whose structure is relatively easy to describe. The Poisson bivector field weaves together these two copies of the coinvariant algebra. Let us set $\deg(\mathfrak{h}) = 1$ for the next statement.

Corollary 4.6. *The principal block of the center for the small quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ contains the following subalgebra $\tau \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by the coinvariant subalgebra $\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the Poisson bivector field τ:*

$$\tau \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} := \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}[\tau]}{\langle f\tau^k | f \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}},\ \deg(f) + k > \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)\rangle}.$$

Proof. This follows from the injectivity of wedging with the appropriate powers of τ with elements lying on the first column of Table 3.29 (Corollary 4.4). □

From the work of Kerler [Ker95], it is known that $\tau \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ coincides with the entire principal block of the center when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. On the other hand, Theorem 3.6 shows that this subalgebra has codimension one in $\mathsf{z}_0(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$.

4.2 Conjectures

In this section we formulate several conjectures generalizing the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$.

The following conjecture comes from the explicit computations of several examples in type A, as well as some singular block computations which will appear in a sequel [LQ16].

Conjecture 4.7. *Under the natural $SL_m(\mathbb{C})$-action, the sheaf cohomology $\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-i-j}$ decomposes as a direct sum of trivial $SL_m(\mathbb{C})$-modules for all $i, j \geq 0$ such that $i + j$ is even.*

Assuming Conjecture 4.7, we would have the following statement that gives a purely algebraic description of the principal block center z_0 of the small quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_m$.

Corollary 4.8. *In type A, there is an isomorphism of bigraded vector spaces*

$$\mathsf{z}_0 \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+k=0} \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{n}, V_j^k)^{\mathfrak{h}},$$

where the B-modules

$$V_j = \wedge^j_{S^\bullet(u)} V_1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_1 := \frac{S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{n}}{\Delta(S^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}) \otimes \mathfrak{b})}$$

are described in Theorem 2.10 and Corollary 2.14. The k-degrees of the components are as follows: $\deg(\mathfrak{g}) = \deg(\mathfrak{b}) = 0$, $\deg(\mathfrak{n}) = -2$ and $\deg(\mathfrak{u}) = 2$.

Recall that Haiman's diagonal coinvariant algebra (see [Hai94]) in type A_{m-1} is by definition

$$\mathrm{DC}_m := \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m]}{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m]_+^{S_m}}. \tag{4.4}$$

Any element $\sigma \in S_m$ acts on DC_m by simutaneously sending x_i to $x_{\sigma(i)}$ and y_i to $y_{\sigma(i)}$. Equip DC_m with the bidegree

$$\deg(x_i) := (1, 0), \quad \deg(y_i) := (0, 1) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, m). \tag{4.5}$$

Then each bigraded homogeneous component $\mathrm{DC}_m^{(i,j)}$ of bidegree (i, j) is preserved under the symmetric group action. The usual coinvariant algebra $\mathrm{C}_m := \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{sl}_m}$ can be recovered from DC_m by specializing either the x or y to zero.

Conjecture 4.9. *In type A, the center of the principal block $\mathsf{z}_0 := \mathsf{z}_0(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ for the small quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ is isomorphic, up to a bigrading transformation, to the diagonal coinvariant algebra as a bigraded vector space. More precisely:*

(1) There exists a symmetric group S_m action on z_0, extending the action of S_m on the coinvariant subalgebra $\mathrm{C}_m \subset \mathsf{z}_0$.

(2) The symmetric group action commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ constructed in Theorem 4.3.

(3) As a bigraded representation of S_m, there is an isomorphism of representations

$$\mathsf{z}_0 \cong \mathrm{DC}_m \otimes \mathrm{sgn},$$

where sgn *stands for the* 1*-dimensional sign representation of the symmetric group sitting in bidegree* $(0,0)$*. The bigradings are matched as follows: for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathsf{z}_0^{i,j} = \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-i-j} \cong (\mathrm{DC}_m \otimes \mathrm{sgn})^{\binom{m}{2}-\frac{i+j}{2}, \frac{j-i}{2}},$$

where $\binom{m}{2}$ is the complex dimension of the flag variety $X = SL_m(\mathbb{C})/B$.

In particular, the dimension of the principal block of the center is equal to

$$\dim(\mathsf{z}_0(\mathfrak{sl}_m)) = \dim(\mathrm{DC}_m) = (m+1)^{m-1}.$$

Below we list a few further remarks about the conjecture.

Remark 4.10. (i) The expected symmetric group action in Conjecture 4.9 should come from the taking the zeroth Hochschild cohomology HH^0 of the Steinberg variety $\mathcal{Z} = \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, even though $\mathcal{Z}$ is singular and HH^0 needs to be treated more carefully. For one thing, notice that the smooth irreducible components of the Steinberg variety, which are conormal bundles to the irreducible G-orbits in $X \times X$, are labelled by $w \in S_m$. Each of these components $\mathcal{Z}_w$ contributes to a one-dimensional space $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Z}_w, \wedge^0 T\mathcal{Z}_w)^0$. The second part of the conjecture is then a consequence of the fact that the subvarieties $\mathcal{Z}_w$ are Lagrangian. This implies that the natural symplectic form $(\omega, -\omega)$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, and the natural Poisson bivector field $(\tau, -\tau)$ vanish on $\mathcal{Z}_w$.

(ii) There are two reasons for the sign character to appear in part (3) of the conjecture. The first reason is that, by inspection, the diagonal in the formal Hodge diamond is spanned by powers of the Poisson bivector field. These forms are invariant under the symmetric group action by part (1) of the conjecture. On the other hand, the diagonals in Haiman's coinvariant algebra consist of sign representations of the symmetric group. The second reason is that the principal block of the big quantum group at a root of unity categorifies the *antispherical module* of the affine Hecke algebra: this is exactly the induced module of the affine Hecke algebra from the sign character of the finite Hecke subalgebra. A recent work of Riche and Williamson [RW15] has given a categorical explanation of this phenomenon in type A for algebraic groups over finite characteristic fields via categorification. Their method also applies to (big) quantum groups at roots of unity.

(iii) The last statement concerning the dimension of the center is a consequence of Haiman's character formula for DC_n in his proof of the $n!$ Theorem [Hai02]. Other proofs of the character formula which generalize beyond type A are given by Gordon in [Gor03] and Cherednik [Che04] via representation theory of *double affine Hecke algebras* (DAHA). It is also a natural question to ask whether the symmetric group action and the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ action can be integrated into a DAHA action on $\mathsf{z}_0(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$, as done by Gordon and Cherednik. This question may be closely related to part (i) since the DAHA could be possibly realized as a deformed generalized cohomology theory of the Steinberg variety.

(iv) It is known that the commutative algebra structures on these vector spaces disagree. The socle of $\mathsf{z}_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to a copy of the coinvariant algebra $\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} = S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})/S^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})^W_+$, which is identified with the horizontal bottom row in the formal Hodge diamond (3.29). In particular, when $m = 3$, $\mathsf{z}_0(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ has a 6-dimensional socle, while the socle of DC_3 is 5-dimensional.

4.3 Further evidence

In this section, we provide some further evidence to the conjectures made in Section 4.2. Specifically, we will outline a way to obtain a complete result in the case of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_4)$ and show some sample computational results. In this case, Conjectures 4.7 and 4.9 can be summarized into the following.

Conjecture 4.11. *The bigraded formal Hodge diamond for the principal block of small quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_4$ at a root of unity is given by*

$i+j=0$	1						
$i+j=2$	3	1					
$i+j=4$	5	4	1				
$i+j=6$	6	9	4	1			
$i+j=8$	5	11	9	4	1		
$i+j=10$	3	8	11	9	4	1	
$i+j=12$	1	3	5	6	5	3	1
$h^{i,j}$	$j-i=0$	$j-i=2$	$j-i=4$	$j-i=6$	$j-i=8$	$j-i=10$	$j-i=12$

, (4.6)

where $h^{i,j} = \dim(\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-i-j})$. Furthermore, the space $\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-i-j}$ consists of trivial G-modules for each pair of (i, j) appearing in the table.

First we have the following.

Proposition 4.12. *The diagonal entries in Table 4.16 each consist of one copy of the trivial $\mathfrak{sl}_4$-representation:*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^{2r} T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2r} \cong L_0.$$

The result in the Proposition will be shown to be valid for any $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_P := T^*(G/P)$, where G is a simple complex Lie group and P is a parabolic subgroup in the sequel [LQ16], via some basic properties of (semi)stable vector bundles.

Therefore, taking into account Proposition 4.12 and Corollary 4.6, the entries of the first column, bottom row and the main diagonal of Table 4.16 coincide with the values predicted in Haiman's diagonal coinvariant subalgebra.

To compute the rest of the entries, we propose to use the following steps:

(i) Consider the cohomolgy groups for the natural subquotient vector bundles of

$$\mathrm{pr}_*(\wedge^k T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-2r} \cong G \times_B V_k^{-2r}$$

and show that in the appropriate cohomological degree H^{2r-k}, the bundles only contribute copies of trivial $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$-modules to the total cohomology.

(ii) Compute the terms in the second left-most column using the relative Lie algebra cohomology for the corresponding sheaves. By Step (i) and Proposition 2.8, this is reduced to computing the multiplicity space of trivial representations in the corresponding Hochschild cohomology term.

(iii) Give an upper bound to each entry on the off-digonals by analyzing the cohomology of the subquotient sheaves. The upper bound can be directly read off from the dimensions of the cohomology groups considered in Step (i).

(iv) If the upper bound along a diagonal coincides with the value in the second column obtained in step (ii), then the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action along the diagonals (Theorem 4.3) assures that this upper bound is always achieved for the remaining entries.

In Steps (i) and (ii) above we will need explicit expressions for the maps in the BGG resolution complex for the trivial module for $\mathfrak{sl}_4$. Since we were unable to find them in the literature, and because they may present an independent interest from a representation-theoretic viewpoint, we will record these maps below. Denote by $\mathfrak{n}$ the space of strictly lower triangular matrices, and $U(\mathfrak{n})$ its universal enveloping algebra. This is the associative algebra generated by the Chevalley generators f_1, f_2 and f_3 subject to the Serre relations

$$f_1 f_3 - f_3 f_1 = 0,$$

$$f_1^2 f_2 - 2 f_1 f_2 f_1 + f_2 f_1^2 = 0, \qquad f_2^2 f_1 - 2 f_2 f_1 f_2 + f_1 f_2^2 = 0,$$
$$f_2^2 f_3 - 2 f_2 f_3 f_2 + f_3 f_2^2 = 0, \qquad f_3^2 f_2 - 2 f_3 f_2 f_3 + f_2 f_3^2 = 0.$$

The BGG complex for the zero weight looks as follows

$$M_\bullet : 0 \longrightarrow M_6 \xrightarrow{d_5} M_5 \xrightarrow{d_4} M_4 \xrightarrow{d_3} M_3 \xrightarrow{d_2} M_2 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_0 \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4.7}$$

where each M_i is a direct sum of free $\mathfrak{h}$-graded $U(\mathfrak{n})$-modules, and d_i are $\mathfrak{h}$-grading preserving maps. To describe the modules and the differentials, we will use the following notation: if $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k}$ is a product of simple reflections in S_3, then we will abbreviate

$$U_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k} := U(\mathfrak{n}) \cdot 1_{(s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k}) \cdot 0}, \tag{4.8}$$

the right hand side denoting the free $U(\mathfrak{n})$-module generated by an $\mathfrak{h}$-weight vector of weight $(s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k}) \cdot 0$. Also write $U_0 = U(\mathfrak{n})$ and $U_{w_0} = U(\mathfrak{n}) \cdot 1_{w_0 \cdot 0} = U_{123121}$.

Then the modules $M_0, \ldots, M_6$ can be explicitly identified with

$$M_0 \cong U_0, \quad M_6 \cong U_{w_0},$$

$$M_1 \cong U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus U_3, \quad M_5 \cong U_{23121} \oplus U_{12321} \oplus U_{21232},$$

$$M_2 \cong U_{21} \oplus U_{12} \oplus U_{31} \oplus U_{32} \oplus U_{23}, \quad M_4 \cong U_{1321} \oplus U_{2321} \oplus U_{1231} \oplus U_{2312} \oplus U_{1232},$$

$$M_3 \cong U_{121} \oplus U_{321} \oplus U_{231} \oplus U_{312} \oplus U_{123} \oplus U_{232}.$$

The differentials $d_0, \ldots, d_5$ can be written as matrices with coefficients in $U(\mathfrak{n})$, and they act by *right multiplication* on the free modules. For instance, we write

$$d_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c||c} 1 & 2 & 3 & \\ \hline\hline f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{4.9}$$

to indicate that, for any $x, y, z \in U(\mathfrak{n})$,

$$d_0 : U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus U_3 \longrightarrow U_0, \quad (x1_{s_1\cdot 0},\ y1_{s_2\cdot 0},\ z1_{s_3\cdot 0}) \mapsto xf_1 + yf_2 + zf_3.$$

In this notation, we identify d_1 with the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c||c} 21 & 12 & 31 & 32 & 23 & \\ \hline\hline -f_2^2 & 2f_1f_2-f_2f_1 & -f_3 & & & 1 \\ \hline 2f_2f_1-f_1f_2 & -f_1^2 & & f_3^2 & f_3f_2-2f_2f_3 & 2 \\ \hline & & f_1 & f_2f_3-2f_3f_2 & f_2^2 & 3 \end{array}\right), \tag{4.10}$$

d_2 with the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c||c} 121 & 321 & 231 & 312 & 123 & 232 & \\ \hline\hline -f_1 & f_3^3 & 3f_2f_3-2f_3f_2 & & & & 21 \\ \hline -f_2 & & & f_3^2 & \begin{array}{c}6f_1f_2f_3-4f_2f_1f_3\\ -3f_1f_3f_2+2f_3f_2f_1\end{array} & & 12 \\ \hline & & -f_2^3 & \begin{array}{c}4f_1f_3f_2-2f_3f_2f_1\\ -2f_1f_2f_3+f_2f_3f_1\end{array} & & & 31 \\ \hline & \begin{array}{c}-6f_3f_2f_1+4f_2f_1f_3\\ +3f_1f_3f_2-2f_1f_2f_3\end{array} & & f_1^2 & & f_2 & 32 \\ \hline & & 3f_2f_1-2f_1f_2 & & -f_1^3 & f_3 & 23 \end{array}\right), \tag{4.11}$$

d_3 with the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c||c} 1321 & 2321 & 1231 & 2312 & 1232 & \\ \hline\hline -f_3^3 & & \begin{array}{c}6f_1f_2f_3-4f_1f_3f_2\\ -3f_2f_1f_3+2f_3f_2f_1\end{array} & & & 121 \\ \hline -f_1 & f_2 & & & & 321 \\ \hline & -f_3^2 & f_1^2 & \begin{array}{c}4f_2f_1f_3-2f_1f_2f_3\\ -2f_3f_2f_1+f_1f_3f_2\end{array} & & 231 \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}2f_2f_3\\ -3f_3f_2\end{array} & & & f_2^3 & \begin{array}{c}2f_2f_1\\ -3f_1f_2\end{array} & 312 \\ \hline & & f_2 & & f_3 & 123 \\ \hline & \begin{array}{c}6f_3f_2f_1-4f_1f_3f_2\\ -3f_2f_1f_3+2f_1f_2f_3\end{array} & & & f_1^3 & 232 \end{array}\right), \tag{4.12}$$

d_4 with the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c||c} 23121 & 12321 & 21232 & \\ \hline\hline f_2^2 & f_2f_1-2f_1f_2 & & 1321 \\ \hline 2f_2f_1-f_1f_2 & -f_1^2 & & 2321 \\ \hline & -f_3^2 & f_3f_2-2f_2f_3 & 1231 \\ \hline f_3 & f_1 & & 2312 \\ \hline & 2f_3f_2-f_2f_3 & f_2^2 & 1232 \end{array}\right), \tag{4.13}$$

and, finally, d_5 with the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} w_0 & \\ \hline\hline -f_1 & 23121 \\ \hline -f_2 & 12321 \\ \hline f_3 & 21232 \end{array}\right). \tag{4.14}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.8 that, if E is any B-module, then the multiplicity of L_0 inside the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(X, G\times_B E)$ can be computed as the dimension of the cohomology of the complex $(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}}(M_\bullet, E)^{\mathfrak{h}}, d_\bullet^*)$, which now takes the form

$$0 \longrightarrow E[0] \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{l(w)=j} E[w\cdot 0] \xrightarrow{d_j^*} \bigoplus_{l(w)=j+1} E[w\cdot 0] \to \cdots \to E[w_0\cdot 0] \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4.15}$$

where the differentials d_j^* ($j=0,\dots,5$) are obtained by letting the above matrices of lowering operators (4.9)–(4.14) act on the corresponding weight spaces of E.

Using the BGG resolution maps described above, we have performed sample computations by hand via steps (i) and (ii) above for $i=1, j=3$ and $i=4, j=6$. The obtained results match the values $h^{1,3}=4=h^{1,9}$ and $h^{4,6}=8$ predicted in Conjecture 4.11 and provide a further evidence to Conjecture 4.9.

Proposition 4.13. *The bigraded formal Hodge diamond for the principal block of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_4$ is given by*

$i+j$=0	1						
$i+j$=2	3	1					
$i+j$=4	5	4	1				
$i+j$=6	6	$*$	$*$	1			
$i+j$=8	5	$*$	$*$	$*$	1		
$i+j$=10	3	8	$*$	$*$	4	1	
$i+j$=12	1	3	5	6	5	3	1
$h^{i,j}$	$j-i$=0	$j-i$=2	$j-i$=4	$j-i$=6	$j-i$=8	$j-i$=10	$j-i$=12

, (4.16)

where $h^{i,j}=\dim(\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-i-j})$. The space $\mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\widetilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-i-j}$ consists of trivial G-modules for each pair (i,j) of the obtained dimensions.

The remaining entries of the table should be accessible following the steps outlined above. But the rank of the bundles involved are quite large, and it may require an implementation into a machine computation. This would also open the way to investigate the principal block of the center in other types.

References

[ABG04] S. Arkhipov, R. Bezrukavnikov, and V. Ginzburg. Quantum groups, the loop Grassmannian, and the Springer resolution. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17(3):595–678, 2004. arXiv:math/0304173.

[AJS94] H. H. Andersen, J. C. Jantzen, and W. Soergel. Representations of quantum groups at a pth root of unity and of semisimple groups in characteristic p: independence of p. *Astérisque*, (220):321, 1994.

[BGG71] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand. Structure of representations that are generated by vectors of highest weight. *Funckcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 5(1):1–9, 1971.

[BGG75] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand. Differential operators on the base affine space and a study of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. In *Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971)*, pages 21–64. Halsted, New York, 1975.

[BL07] R. Bezrukavnikov and A. Lachowska. The small quantum group and the Springer resolution. In *Quantum groups*, volume 433 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 89–101. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. arXiv:math/0609819.

[Bot57] R. Bott. Homogeneous vector bundles. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 66(2):203–248, 1957.

[CG97] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg. *Representation theory and complex geometry*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.

[Che04] I. Cherednik. Diagonal coinvariants and double affine Hecke algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (16):769–791, 2004. arXiv:math/0305245.

[EQ16] B. Elias and Y. Qi. A categorification of some small quantum groups II. *Adv. Math.*, 288:81–151, 2016. arXiv:1302.5478.

[FGST06] B. L. Feigin, A. M. Gainutdinov, A. M. Semikhatov, and I. Y. Tipunin. Modular group representations and fusion in logarithmic conformal field theories and in the quantum group center. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 265(1):47–93, 2006. arXiv:hep-th/0504093.

[Fin96] M. Finkelberg. An equivalence of fusion categories. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 6(2):249–267, 1996.

[Gor03] I. Gordon. On the quotient ring by diagonal invariants. *Invent. Math.*, 153(3):503–518, 2003. arXiv:math/0208126.

[Hai94] M. D. Haiman. Conjectures on the quotient ring by diagonal invariants. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 3(1):17–76, 1994. Available at `https://math.berkeley.edu/~mhaiman/ftp/diagonal/diagonal.pdf`.

[Hai02] M. D. Haiman. Vanishing theorems and character formulas for the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane. *Invent. Math.*, 149(2):371–407, 2002. arXiv:math/0201148.

[Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[Hen96] M. Hennings. Invariants of links and 3-manifolds obtained from Hopf algebras. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 54(3):594–624, 1996.

[Hil82] H. Hiller. *The geometry of Coxeter groups*, volume 54 of *Research Notes in Mathematics*. Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, 1982.

[Hum08] J. E. Humphreys. *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category $\mathcal{O}$*, volume 94 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[Ker95] T. Kerler. Mapping class group actions on quantum doubles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 168(2):353–388, 1995. arXiv:hep-th/9402017.

[KL94a] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig. Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras. III. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7(2):335–381, 1994.

[KL94b] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig. Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras. IV. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7(2):383–453, 1994.

[KQ15] M. Khovanov and Y. Qi. An approach to categorification of some small quantum groups. *Quantum Topol.*, 6(2):185–311, 2015. arXiv:1208.0616.

[KR95] L. H. Kauffman and D. E. Radford. Invariants of 3-manifolds derived from finite-dimensional Hopf algebras. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 4(1):131–162, 1995. arXiv:hep-th/9406065.

[Lac03] A. Lachowska. On the center of the small quantum group. *J. Algebra*, 262(2):313–331, 2003. arXiv:math/0107098.

[LQ16] A. Lachowska and Y. Qi. The center of the small quantum group II: singular blocks. 2016. In preparation.

[Lus90] G. Lusztig. Finite-dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebra. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(1):257–296, 1990.

[Lus93] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to quantum groups*, volume 110 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.

[Lyu95] V. V. Lyubashenko. Invariants of 3-manifolds and projective representations of mapping class groups via quantum groups at roots of unity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 172(3):467–516, 1995. arXiv:hep-th/9405167.

[Nak94] H. Nakajima. Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 76(2):365–416, 1994.

[RT91] N. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev. Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 103(3):547–597, 1991.

[RW15] S. Riche and G. Williamson. Tilting modules and the p-canonical basis. 2015. arXiv:1512.08296.

[VX15] K. Vilonen and T. Xue. The null-cone and cohomology of vector bundles on flag manifolds. 2015. arXiv:1505.07619.

A. L.: *Department of Mathematics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, SB MATHGEOM, MA A2 407 (Bâtiment MA), Station 8, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*
email: anna.lachowska@epfl.ch

Y. Q.: *Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA*
email: you.qi@yale.edu